arXiv:0808.0563v2 [math.DG] 6 May 2010

ON THE CENTER OF MASS OF ISOLATED SYSTEMS WITH GENERAL ASYMPTOTICS

LAN-HSUAN HUANG

Abstract. We propose a definition of center of mass for asymptotically flat manifolds satisfying Regge-Teitelboim condition at infinity. This definition has a coordinate-free expression and natural properties. Furthermore, we prove that our definition is consistent both with the one proposed by Corvino and Schoen and another by Huisken and Yau. The main tool is a new density theorem for data satisfying the Regge-Teitelboim condition.

1. Introduction

A three-manifold M with a Riemannian metric g and a two-tensor K is called a vacuum initial data set (M, g, K) if g and K satisfy the constraint equations

$$\begin{aligned} R_g - |K|_g^2 + H^2 &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div}_g(K) - dH &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature of M and $H = Tr_g(K) = g^{ij}K_{ij}$. We say (M, g, K) is asymptotically flat (AF) if it is a vacuum initial data set and there exists a coordinate $\{x\}$ outside a compact set, say B_{R_0}, in M such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(x),\ h_{ij} &= O(|x|^{-1}) \qquad K_{ij}(x) = O(|x|^{-2}) \\ g_{ij,k}(x) &= O(|x|^{-2}) \qquad K_{ij,k}(x) = O(|x|^{-3}) \\ g_{ij,kl}(x) &= O(|x|^{-3}) \qquad K_{ij,kl}(x) = O(|x|^{-4}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and similarly for higher derivatives. For AF manifolds, the ADM mass m is defined by

$$m = \frac{1}{16\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \left(g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}\right) \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g,$$

where $\{|x| = r\}$ is the Euclidean sphere, ν_g is the unit outward normal vector field with respect to the metric g, and $d\sigma_g$ is the volume form induced from (M, g).

For the case in which we are interested, we require asymptotic symmetry on (M, g, K). We say (M, g, K) is asymptotically flat satisfying Regge-Teitelboim condition (AF-RT) if (M, g, K) is AF and g, K satisfy these asymptotically even/odd conditions

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}^{odd}(x) &= O(|x|^{-2}) \qquad K_{ij}^{even}(x) = O(|x|^{-3}) \\ \big(g_{ij}^{odd}\big)_{,k}(x) &= O(|x|^{-3}) \qquad \big(K_{ij}^{even}\big)_{,k}(x) = O(|x|^{-4}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and on higher derivatives, where $f^{odd}(x) = f(x) - f(-x)$ and $f^{even}(x) = f(x) + f(-x)$, [RT74]. Notice that f^{odd} and f^{even} are only defined outside B_{R_0} in which the coordinate is defined. It is proved by Corvino and Schoen in [CS06] that AF-RT manifolds form a dense subset of AF manifolds in some suitable weighted Sobolev space.

For (M, g, K) satisfying AF-RT, we propose an intrinsic definition of center of mass[1] suggested by Richard Schoen,

$$C_I^\alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y_{(\alpha)}^i \nu_g^j d\sigma_g, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \tag{1.4}$$

where R_{ij} is the Ricci curvature of M and $Y_{(\alpha)} = \big(|x|^2 \delta^{\alpha i} - 2x^\alpha x^i\big) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is a Euclidean conformal Killing vector field. If (M, g, K) is AF-RT, the limit converges. Notice that if we replace ν_g by the normal vector field with respect to the Euclidean metric and $d\nu_g$ by the volume form with respect the induced metric from the Euclidean space, the limit is the same. Also notice that the above expression is not defined when the ADM mass m is zero. However, the center of mass can not be well-defined when m is zero because a basic version of positive mass theorem shows that M is actually the Euclidean space [SY79] [SY81].

This intrinsic definition is motivated by a similar expression of the ADM mass when $Y_{(\alpha)}$ in the above definition (1.4) is replaced by $\{-2x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\}$, the radial direction Euclidean conformal Killing vector field,

$$m = \frac{1}{16\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big)(-2x^i) \nu_g^j d\sigma_g. \tag{1.5}$$

The Euclidean conformal Killing vector fields $\{-2x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\}$ and $Y_{(\alpha)}$ generate dilation and translation at infinity. A detailed discussion about the correspondence between these two vector fields and the mass and center of mass can be found in [CW08]. Another motivation comes from the spatial Schwarzschild metric

$$g^S(x) = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x-p|}\right)^4 \delta = 1 + \frac{2m}{|x|} + \frac{2mx \cdot p}{|x|^3} + \frac{3m}{2|x|^3} + O(|x|^{-3}).$$

---

[1] The intrinsic definition can be generalized to n-dimensional manifolds if we replace the factor $\frac{1}{16\pi m}$ by a suitable constant depending on n and ω_n, where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence the following arguments work more generally for n-dimensional manifolds, but for simplicity, we assume $n = 3$.

If we replace the metric in (1.4) by g^S, C_I is precisely the vector p which indicates the center of the manifold. It is worth mentioning that although in the Schwarzschild case p is not a point in the manifold, one can develop polar coordinates using concentric spheres centered at p.

Other definitions of center of mass have been proposed. Huisken and Yau [HY96] define the center of mass for (M, g, K) which are spherically asymptotically flat (SAF), i.e. (M, g, K) is AF and

$$g_{ij}(x) = \left(1 + \frac{2m}{|x|}\right)\delta_{ij} + p_{ij}, \quad p_{ij}(x) = O(|x|^{-2}), \partial^\alpha p_{ij}(x) = O(|x|^{-2-|\alpha|}). \tag{1.6}$$

They first prove the existence and uniqueness of the constant mean curvature foliation $\{M_r\}$ for SAF manifolds, where the mean curvature of M_r is $\frac{2}{r} - \frac{4m}{r^2} + O(r^{-3})$. Then they show that the approximate centers converge to the center of mass C_{HY} defined as follows:

$$C^\alpha_{HY} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\int_{M_r} z^\alpha \, d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_r} d\sigma_0}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \tag{1.7}$$

where z is the position vector of M_r in M. This definition is also motivated by the spatial Schwarzschild manifold in which the constant mean curvature foliation is $\{|x - p| = r\}$. Another definition by Corvino and Schoen in [CS06] is defined for AF-RT manifolds by

$$C^\alpha_{CS} = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \lim_{r\to\infty} \left(\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}) \nu^j_g d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} (h_{i\alpha}\nu^i_g - h_{ii}\nu^\alpha_g) \, d\sigma_g \right), \tag{1.8}$$

where we recall that $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}$. One application of this definition in [CS06] is the gluing theorem which allows Corvino and Schoen to approximate AF manifolds by solutions which agree with the original data inside a given region and are identical to a suitable Kerr solution outside a compact set. Their definition is a local-coordinate expression which is convenient for calculation purposes, but obscures interesting physics and geometry.

The main purpose of this article is to prove that the intrinsic definition (1.4) is equivalent to the Corvino-Schoen definition (1.8). Moreover, for SAF manifolds in which the unique foliation of constant mean curvature surfaces exists, our intrinsic definition is equal to the Huisken-Yau definition. In other words, the intrinsic definition is a coordinate-free expression of the Corvino-Schoen definition and it generalizes the Huisken-Yau definition.

Theorem 1. *Assume (M, g, K) is AF-RT (1.3). Then*

$$C_I = C_{CS}.$$

Theorem 2. *Assume* (M, g, K) *is SAF (1.6). Then*

$$C_I = C_{HY}.$$

We would like to make a note that Corvino and Wu [CW08] recently have a result about the equivalence of these definitions under the assumption that the metric g is conformally flat at infinity with vanishing scalar curvature. In that special case, they are able to derive some explicit estimates. However, it seems that their approach cannot be generalized to AF-RT metrics.

This article is organized as follows. In section 3, we prove a density theorem (Theorem 3.2) for AF-RT manifolds. The theorem is crucial for most of the arguments in the article and may be of independent interest. In section 4, we discuss properties of the intrinsic definition. Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are proved in sections 5 and 6 respectively.

2. Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my thesis advisor Prof. Richard Schoen for suggesting this problem and for the remarkable ideas and comments he provided. I also would like to thank Simon Brendle and Damin Wu for very useful discussions and Justin Corvino for his interest in this work.

3. The Density Theorem

Let (M, g, K) be a vacuum initial data set. We introduce the momentum tensor

$$\pi^{ij} = K^{ij} - Tr_g(K) g^{ij}.$$

The constraint equations (1.1) then take the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_g + \frac{1}{2}(Tr_g \pi)^2 - |\pi|_g^2 &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div}_g(\pi) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and we define

$$\Phi(g, \pi) = \left(R_g + \frac{1}{2}(Tr_g \pi)^2 - |\pi|_g^2 \, , \, \operatorname{div}_g(\pi) \right).$$

In the case that (M, g, π) is AF-RT, Corvino and Schoen [CS06] prove that AF manifolds can be approximated by AF-RT manifolds in some weighted Sobolev space. Moreover, instead of requiring smooth solutions to the constraint equations (3.1), their theorem works for solutions with weak regularity. Before we state the theorem, we need the following definitions.

Definition 3.1 (Linear and Angular Momentum)**.** *The linear momentum* (P_1, P_2, P_3) *and the angular momentum* (J_1, J_2, J_3) *are defined as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \pi_{ij} \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g, \\ J_\alpha &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \pi_{jk} Z_{(\alpha)}^j \nu_g^k \, d\sigma_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_{(\alpha)}$ *give the rotation fields in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*, for example* $Z_{(1)} = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}$.

Remark. *The linear momentum is well-defined for AF manifolds and the angular momentum is well-defined for AF-RT manifolds.*

Definition 3.2 (Weighted Sobolev Spaces)**.** *For a non-negative integer* k*, a non-negative real number* p*, and a real number* δ*, we say* $f \in W^{k,p}_{-\delta}(M)$ *if*

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \equiv \left(\int_M \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \left(|D^\alpha f| \rho^{|\alpha|+\delta} \right)^p |x|^{-3} \, d\sigma_g \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where α *is a multi-index and* ρ *is a continuous function with* $\rho = |x|$ *on* $M \setminus B_{R_0}$.
When $p = \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,\infty}_{-\delta}(M)} = \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} ess \sup_M |D^\alpha f| \rho^{|\alpha|+\delta}.$$

Definition 3.3 (Harmonic Asymptotics)**.** (M, g, π) *is said to have harmonic asymptotics if* (M, g, π) *is AF and*

$$g = u^4 \delta, \quad \pi = u^2 (\mathcal{L}_\delta X) \tag{3.2}$$

outside a compact set for some u, X *tending to* $1, 0$ *respectively, where for any metric* g*,* $\mathcal{L}_g X$ *is the operator associated to the Lie derivative* $L_X g$ *defined by*

$$\mathcal{L}_g X \equiv L_X g - div_g(X) g.$$

By the constraint equations (3.1), u and X in Definition 3.3 satisfy the following equations outside the compact set,

$$\begin{aligned} &8\Delta_\delta u = \Big(- |\mathcal{L}_\delta X|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \big(Tr_\delta (\mathcal{L}_\delta X) \big)^2 \Big) u, \\ &\Delta_\delta X^i + 4u^{-1} u_j (\mathcal{L}_\delta X)^i_j - 2u^{-1} u^i Tr_\delta (\mathcal{L}_\delta X) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and asymptotic flatness requires u, X tend to $1, 0$ respectively at some decay rate. Using the decay conditions on u and X, we have $\Delta_\delta u = O(|x|^{-2-2\delta})$ and $\Delta_\delta X_i = O(|x|^{-2-2\delta})$. As shown in [B86], the asymptotic behavior implies that outside a compact set,

$$u = 1 + \frac{a}{|x|} + O(|x|^{-1-\delta}), \quad X^i = \frac{b^i}{|x|} + O(|x|^{-1-\delta}), \tag{3.3}$$

for some constants a and b^i. Note that this clearly implies that (g, π) is AF-RT. Furthermore, if (M, g, π) is AF-RT, $u^{odd}(x)$ and $(X^i)^{odd}$ satisfy equations with better decay: $\Delta_\delta u^{odd} = O(|x|^{-4-2\delta})$ and $\Delta_\delta (X^i)^{odd} = O(|x|^{-4-2\delta})$. Hence

$$u^{odd}(x) = \frac{c \cdot x}{|x|^3} + O\big(|x|^{-2-\delta}\big) \text{ and } (X^i)^{odd}(x) = \frac{d^{(i)} \cdot x}{|x|^3} + O\big(|x|^{-2-\delta}\big) \quad (3.4)$$

for some vectors $c, d^{(i)}$ which are quantities corresponding to the center of mass and angular momentum of $(\bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$.

Theorem 3.1. [CS06, Theorem 1] *Let* $(g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}, \pi_{ij}) \in W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$ *be a vacuum initial data set, where* $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *and* $p > \frac{3}{2}$. *Given any* $\epsilon > 0$, *there exist* $k_0 > 0$ *and a sequence of solutions* $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *with harmonic asymptotics satisfying (3.2), (3.3) so that*

$$\|g - \bar{g}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \leq \epsilon, \quad \|\pi - \bar{\pi}_k\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

Moreover, the mass and the linear momentum of $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *are within* ϵ *of those of* (g, π).

The theorem says that the solutions with harmonic asymptotics (3.2) are dense among general solutions. More remarkably, the mass and the linear momentum which can be explicitly expressed for solutions with harmonic asymptotics converge to the original initial data set in these weighted Sobolev spaces. However, in the above theorem the center of mass does not seem to converge, neither is the center of mass C_I defined generally for AF manifolds. Therefore, we would like to modify their theorem and prove, in some weighted Sobolev space, solutions with harmonic asymptotics form a dense subset inside AF-RT solutions so that the centers of mass and the angular momentum converge. The precise statement is as follows:

Theorem 3.2 (Density Theorem). *Let* $(g - \delta, \pi) \in W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$ *be a vacuum initial data set and* $(g^{odd}, \pi^{even}) \in W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0}) \times W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0})$, *where* $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *and* $p > 3$. *Given any* $\epsilon > 0$ *and* $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta)$, *there exist* R, $k_0 = k_0(R)$, *and a sequence of solutions* $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *with harmonic asymptotics satisfying (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) so that* $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *is within an* ϵ-*neighborhood of* (g, π) *in the* $W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$ *norm and*

$$\|\bar{g}^{odd}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M \setminus B_R)} \leq \epsilon, \quad \|\bar{\pi}^{even}_k\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta_0}(M \setminus B_R)} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

Moreover, the mass, the linear momentum, the center of mass, and the angular momentum of $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *are within* ϵ *of those of* (g, π).

We first briefly describe Corvino and Schoen's construction of the approximating solutions $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $(\hat{g}_k, \hat{\pi}_k)$ be 2-tensors cut off from the original solutions (g, π), $\hat{g}_k = \xi_k g + (1-\xi_k)\delta = \delta + \xi_k h$, $\hat{\pi}_k = \xi_k \pi$ where ξ_k is a smooth cut-off function

$$\xi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } |x| \le k, \\ \text{between 0 and 1} & \text{when } k \le |x| \le 2k, \\ 0 & \text{when } |x| \ge 2k. \end{cases}$$

ξ_k is chosen so that $|D\xi_k| \le \frac{c}{k}$ and $|D^2\xi_k| \le \frac{c}{k^2}$ for some constant c independent of k. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_k &= u_k^4 \hat{g}_k, \\ \bar{\pi}_k &= u_k^2(\hat{\pi}_k + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{g}_k} X_k). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation, we denote $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{g}_k}$ by $\mathcal{L}$, and we also drop the subindex k when it is clear from context. In order to find u near 1 and X near 0 at infinity so that $(\bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$ is a vacuum initial data set, we need to solve the following systems for u and X from the constraint equations (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu} \equiv u^{-5}\Big(-8\Delta_{\hat{g}} u + \Big(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|_{\hat{g}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\big(Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)^2 \Big) u \Big) = 0 \\ \big(\mathrm{div}_{\bar{g}}(\bar{\pi})\big)_i = u^{-2}\Big(\big(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)_i + 4u^{-1}\hat{g}^{jk} u_j (\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)_{ik} \\ -2u^{-1} u_i Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) \Big) = 0, \quad i = 1,2,3. \qquad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the map $T : (1,0) + W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M) \to W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M)$ defined by $T(u,X) = \big(\bar{\mu}, \mathrm{div}_{\bar{g}}(\bar{\pi})\big)$. It is known that $DT_{(1,0)}$ is a Fredholm operator of index 0. For the Fredholm operator of index 0, the operator is injective if and only it is surjective. However, it is not clear whether $DT_{(1,0)}$ is surjective. Corvino and Schoen enlarge the domain and utilize initial data sets of the form $\big(u^4\hat{g} + h, u^2(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) + q\big)$ with

$$\Phi\left(u^4\hat{g} + h, u^2(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) + q\right) = (0,0),$$

where h and q are symmetric $(0,2)$-tensors with compact supports. Then they prove that the operator $D\Phi_{(\hat{g},\hat{\pi})}$ maps surjectively onto $W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M)$ for $p > 1$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$.

Since $DT_{(1,0)}$ is Fredholm of index 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M) = \mathrm{Ker}\left(DT_{(1,0)}\right) \oplus W_1, \\ & W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) = \mathrm{Range}\left(DT_{(1,0)}\right) \oplus \mathrm{span}\{V_1, \dots, V_N\} \end{aligned}$$

where W_1 is an N-dimensional linear subspace and $\{V_1, \cdots, V_N\}$ is a basis for the cokernel of $DT_{(1,0)}$. Because $D\Phi_{(\hat{g},\hat{\pi})}$ is surjective, we can choose $\big\{(h_1,q_1), \dots, (h_N,q_N)\big\}$ so that $D\Phi_{(\hat{g},\hat{\pi})}(h_i,q_i) = V_i$. Supports of those (h_i, q_i) may not be compact, but there exist $(\widetilde{h}_i, \widetilde{q}_i)$ with compact supports close enough to (h_i, q_i) so that $\widetilde{V}_i = D\Phi_{(\hat{g},\hat{\pi})}(\widetilde{h}_i, \widetilde{q}_i)$ still span a complementing subspace for Range $\big(DT_{(1,0)}\big)$.

Let $W_2 =$ span$\big\{(\widetilde{h}_1, \widetilde{q}_1), \dots, (\widetilde{h}_N, \widetilde{q}_N)\big\}$. $W = W_1 \times W_2$ is a Banach space inside $W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$. Define the map $\overline{T}$ from $\big((1,0),(0,0)\big) + W_1 \times W_2$ to $W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M)$ by

$$\overline{T}\big((u,X),(h,q)\big) = \Phi(u^4\hat{g} + h, u^2(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) + q).$$

$D\overline{T}_{((1,0),(0,0))}$ is an isomorphism by construction. Hence the inverse function theorem asserts that $\overline{T}$ is an isomorphism from a fixed (independent of k) neighborhood of $((1,0),(0,0))$ to a fixed neighborhood of $\Phi(\hat{g},\hat{\pi})$. Because $(0,0)$ is contained in the image when k large, there exists a unique $((u,X),(h,q))$ within that fixed neighborhood of $\big((1,0),(0,0)\big)$ such that $\Phi\left(u^4\hat{g} + h, u^2(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) + q\right) = (0,0)$ for k large. □

Notice that the supports of h, q in the proof may not be uniformly bounded in k, but it is important in the proof of Theorem 3.2 that h, q have compact supports uniformly bounded in k. Therefore, we need to carefully choose the cokernel of $DT_{(1,0)}$ for k large. This choice is described by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *V, W are Banach spaces. Assume $S_k : V \to W$ is a sequence of Fredholm operators and S_k converges (in the operator norm) to some Fredhholm operator S'. If $W = \mathrm{Range}S' \oplus W'$ for some finitely dimensional closed subspace W', we can choose a subspace W_k in W' such that $W = \mathrm{Range}S_k \oplus W_k$ for k large.*

Proof. Since S' is Fredholm, there exists V' such that $V = KerS' \oplus V'$. Consider

$$\tau_S : V' \oplus W' \to W$$

by $\tau_S(v,w) = Sv + w$. Then τ_{S_k} is an isomorphism for k large since $\tau_{S'}$ is an isomorphism by construction and isomorphism is an open condition in the space of linear operators. We then have

$$W = S_k(V') \oplus W'.$$

Therefore, for any $v \in V$, $S_k(v)$ can be decomposed uniquely into $S_k(v') + S_k(v) - S_k(v')$ for some $v' \in V'$ and $S_k(v) - S_k(v') \in W'$. Hence v can be decomposed uniquely into $v = v' + (v - v')$ as well, where $S_k(v - v') \in W'$. Let $U_k \equiv \{u \in V : S_k(u) \in W'\}$. It is easy to see that U_k is a closed space in V and

$$V = V' \oplus U_k.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Ker}S_k$ is a finite dimensional subspace in U_k, so we can write

$$V = V' \oplus \mathrm{Ker}S_k \oplus Z_k$$

for some closed subspace $Z_k \subset U_k$. $S_k(Z_k)$ is closed in W', so there is $W_k \subset W'$ such that $S_k(Z_k) \oplus W_k = W'$ and hence

$$W = S_k(V') \oplus S_k(Z_k) \oplus W_k = \mathrm{Range} S_k \oplus W_k.$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *The supports of h and q in the proof of Theorem 3.1 can be chosen to be uniformly bounded for k large.*

Next, the key lemma (Lemma 3.8) used to prove Theorem 3.2 is an *a priori* type estimate for 2nd order elliptic equations $Pv = f$ which have a symmetric property at infinity. Roughly speaking, if we know P and f are even (or odd, respectively) then we hope solutions v will be even (or odd, respectively) as well. However, this is not true generally because boundary values can affect solutions dramatically. For example, consider two harmonic functions u_1, u_2 in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_0}$,

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{|x|} \text{ and } u_2 = \frac{c \cdot x}{|x|^3}.$$

Both $|u_1|$ and $|u_2|$ tend to zero at infinity. However, u_1 is even and u_2 is odd. They are solutions for different boundary values on the inner boundary. Nevertheless, in the case that the boundary value is very small, we will show the symmetry of the solutions is not affected much in the region away from the boundary. Before we state the lemma, we will give some definitions of the operators we consider. This class of operators is discussed in detail in [B86].

Definition 3.6. *P defined as $Pu = a^{ij}(x)\partial^2_{ij}u + b^i(x)\partial_i u + c(x)u$ is an elliptic operator.*

(1) *P is said to be asymptotic (at rate τ) to an elliptic operator $\widetilde{P}$, $\widetilde{P}u = \widetilde{a}^{ij}(x)\partial^2_{ij}u + \widetilde{b}^i(x)\partial_i u + \widetilde{c}(x)u$, if there exist $q \in (3, \infty)$, $\tau \geq 0$, and a constant C such that over the region $M \setminus B_{R_0}$,*

$$\|a^{ij} - \widetilde{a}^{ij}\|_{W^{1,q}_{-\tau}} + \|b^i - \widetilde{b}^i\|_{W^{0,q}_{-1-\tau}} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|_{W^{0,q/2}_{-2-\tau}} \leq C. \tag{3.6}$$

(2) *P^{odd} is the odd part of the operator, defined on $M \setminus B_{R_0}$ by*

$$P^{odd} = (a^{ij})^{odd}\partial^2_{ij} + (b^i)^{even}\partial_i + c^{odd}.$$

Remark. From the definition (3.6), we have

$$\|a^{ij} - \widetilde{a}^{ij}\|_{W^{1,q}_{-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} + \|b^i - \widetilde{b}^i\|_{W^{0,q}_{-1-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|_{W^{0,q/2}_{-2-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$.

Definition 3.7. *We say a sequence of elliptic operators* P_k, $P_k u = a^{ij}_{(k)}(x)\partial^2_{ij}u + b^i_{(k)}(x)\partial_i u + c_{(k)}(x)u$, *is asymptotic to* $\widetilde{P}$ *uniformly if given* ϵ, *there exist* R *and* k_0 *such that*

$$\|a^{ij}_{(k)} - \widetilde{a}^{ij}\|_{W^{1,q}_{-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} + \|b^i_{(k)} - \widetilde{b}^i\|_{W^{0,q}_{-1-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} \\ + \|c_{(k)} - \widetilde{c}\|_{W^{0,q/2}_{-2-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } k > k_0.$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let* $\{P_k\}$ *be a sequence of* 2nd *order elliptic operators asymptotic to* Δ_δ, *the Euclidean Laplacian in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, *uniformly, and for a non-integer positive number* a,

$$P_k : W^{s,p}_{-a}(M) \to W^{s-2,p}_{-2-a}(M).$$

Assume $\{v_k\} \subset W^{s,p}_{-a}(M)$, $\{f_k\} \subset W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)$ *are sequences of functions. We also assume* $v_k^{odd} \in W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M \setminus B_{R_0})$, $\|v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-a}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$, *and* v_k^{odd} *satisfy* $P_k v_k^{odd} = f_k$. *Then there exist* R *large and* k_0 *large such that*

$$\|v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M\setminus 2R)} \leq c\|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M\setminus B_R)} + c \text{ for all } k > k_0,$$

where $c = c\big(s, p, a, \|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op}\big), R = R\big(\|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op}\big), k_0 = k_0(R)$.

Proof. Because v_k^{odd} is not a global function, we multiply it by a smooth function ϕ,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } |x| \leq R, \\ \text{between 0 and 1} & \text{when } R \leq |x| \leq 2R, \\ 1 & \text{when } |x| \geq 2R, \end{cases}$$

where ϕ satisfies $|D\phi| \leq \frac{c}{R}, |D^2\phi| \leq \frac{c}{R^2}$ for some constant c independent of R, where the real number R will be chosen later. We have $\phi v_k^{odd} \in W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)$ and

$$P_k\big(\phi v_k^{odd}\big) = \phi f_k + a^{ij}_{(k)}\Big(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\Big)v_k^{odd} + 2a^{ij}_{(k)}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial v_k^{odd}}{\partial x^j} + b^i_{(k)}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\Big)v_k^{odd}.$$

By [B86, Theorem 1.7], there exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(s,p,a)$ such that

$$\|\phi v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} \leq c_1\|\Delta_\delta(\phi v_k^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)}.$$

We then consider the difference between Δ_δ and P_k. The operator norm $\|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op;M\setminus B_R}$ defined by

$$\|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op;M\setminus B_R} = \sup\Big\{\|(P_k - \Delta_\delta)w\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-\delta}(M)} : \|w\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} = 1, \\ \text{supp} w \subset M \setminus B_R\Big\}$$

tends to zero as $R \to \infty$ uniformly in k because P_k is asymptotic to Δ_δ uniformly. More precisely, for ω as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_k - \Delta_\delta)w\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)} &\leq \sup_{|x|\geq R} \left\{ \left| a^{ij}_{(k)} - \delta^{ij} \right| \right\} \|D^2 w\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)} \\ &\quad + c_2 \|b\|_{W^{0,q}_{-1}(M \setminus B_R)} \|Dw\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-2-a}(M)} \\ &\quad + c_2 \|c\|_{W^{0,q/2}_{-2}(M \setminus B_R)} \|w\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as } R \to \infty \text{ uniformly in } k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} &\leq c_1 \|(P_k - \Delta_\delta)(\phi v_k^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)} + c_1 \|P_k(\phi v_k^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)} \\ &\leq c_1 \|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op; M \setminus B_R} \|\phi v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} \\ &\quad + c_1 \|P_k(\phi v_k^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose R such that $c_1 \|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op; M \setminus B_R} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and move that term to the left hand side. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} &\leq 2c_1 \|P_k(\phi v_k^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)} \\ &\leq c_2 \|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M \setminus B_R)} + c_2 \|(D^2\phi) v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(A_R)} \\ &\quad + c_2 \|D\phi \cdot D v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(A_R)} + c_2 \|(D\phi) v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-2-a}(A_R)} \\ &\leq c_2 \|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M \setminus B_R)} + c_3 \|v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-1-a}(A_R)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\|v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-a}(M \setminus B_{R_0})} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2R}$, there exists k_0 such that for all $k > k_0$

$$\|v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-1-a}(A_R)} \leq 2R \|v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-a}(A_R)} \leq 2R\epsilon = 1.$$

As a result, we have the Schauder-type estimate

$$\|v_k^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M \setminus 2R)} \leq c_2 \|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M \setminus B_R)} + c_3 \text{ for all } k > k_0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2.

1. Estimates on u^{odd} and $(X^i)^{odd}$.

We construct $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ as in Theorem 3.1 in the form $\bar{g}_k = u_k^4 \hat{g}_k + h_k, \bar{\pi}_k = u_k^2(\hat{\pi}_k + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{g}_k} X_k) + q_k$. Recall that k is the radius of which we cut off the original data. Again, we drop the subindex k when it is clear from context. By Theorem 3.1, u and X exist and satisfy the system of the constraint equations (3.5). From the constraint equation (3.5) for u in $M \setminus B_{R_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Delta_{\hat{g}} u - \frac{1}{8}\Big(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\big(Tr_{\hat{g}}\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X\big)^2\Big)u \\
&= \hat{g}^{ij}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + \sqrt{\hat{g}}^{-1}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\hat{g}^{ij}\sqrt{\hat{g}}\Big)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{8}\Big(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\big(Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)^2\Big)u \\
&\equiv P_1 u.
\end{aligned}$$

On $M \setminus B_{R_0}$, u^{odd} satisfies the following equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 u^{odd} &= (P_1 u)(x) - (P_1 u)(-x) - P_1^{odd}\big(u(-x)\big) \\
&= \frac{1}{8}\left(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\big(Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)\right)^{odd} u(-x) \\
&\quad - \big(\hat{g}^{ij}(x) - \hat{g}^{ij}(-x)\big)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}u(-x) \\
&\quad - \left(\sqrt{\hat{g}}^{-1}(x)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\hat{g}^{ij}(x)\sqrt{\hat{g}}(x)\Big)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. -\sqrt{\hat{g}}^{-1}(-x)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\hat{g}^{ij}(-x)\sqrt{\hat{g}}(-x)\Big)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}u(-x) \\
&= f_1 + f_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{8}\left(-|\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\right)^{odd} u(-x), \quad f_2 = P_1 u^{odd} - f_1.$$

f_1 contains the terms involving X. We will use a bootstrap argument to improve its decay rate. f_2 contains the terms which have expected good decay rate already, such as g^{odd}, π^{even}. A direct calculation tells us

$$\|f_1\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-2\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le c, \quad \|f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_{-3-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le c.$$

We emphasize that through out this proof, c is a constant independent of k.

From the constraint equations (3.5) for X, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\mathcal{L}X)\big)_i + \mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi})_i + 4u^{-1}\hat{g}^{kj}u_j\big(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X\big)_{ik} \\
&\qquad - 2u^{-1}u_i Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If we compute the first term in local coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\mathcal{L}X)\big)_i &= \big(\Delta_{\hat{g}}X\big)_i + \hat{Ric}_{ik}X^k \\
&= \hat{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}X_i - \hat{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(X^p\Gamma^q_{li}\hat{g}_{pq}) - \hat{g}^{kl}X^p\Gamma^q_{lp}\Gamma^r_{ki}\hat{g}_{qr} + \hat{Ric}_{ik}X^k.
\end{aligned}$$

We define $P_2 X_i \equiv \hat{g}^{kl} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} X_i$ and then $P_2 X_i = F_i$, where F_i contains the remainder terms from above identities,

$$\begin{aligned} F_i &= \hat{g}^{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (X^p \Gamma^q_{li} \hat{g}_{pq}) + \hat{g}^{kl} X^p \Gamma^q_{lp} \Gamma^r_{ki} \hat{g}_{qr} - \hat{Ric}_{ik} X^k \\ &\quad - \mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi})_i - 4u^{-1} \hat{g}^{kj} u_j \left(\hat{\pi} - \mathcal{L}X \right)_{ik} + 2u^{-1} u_i Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 \left(X_i\right)^{odd} &= \left(F_i\right)^{odd} - \left(\hat{g}^{kl}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \hat{g}^{kl}(-x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} \right) \left(X_i(-x)\right) \\ &= f_{i,3} + f_{i,4} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_{i,3} = -\left(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi})_i\right)^{odd}, \quad f_{i,4} = P_2 \left(X_i\right)^{odd} - f_{i,3},$$

where $f_{i,4}$ contains u^{odd} and X_k^{odd} and we will bootstrap to improve its decay rate. A straightforward calculation gives us

$$\|f_{i,3}\|_{W^{0,p}_{-3-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0})} \leq c, \quad \|f_{i,4}\|_{W^{0,p}_{-2-2\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0})} \leq c.$$

From the above, we derive the system

$$\begin{aligned} &P_1 u^{odd} = f_1 + f_2, \\ &P_2 (X_i)^{odd} = f_{i,3} + f_{i,4}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

We are at the stage that we can apply Lemma 3.8 (with $a = \delta$) for each equation because P_1 and P_2 are obviously asymptotic to Δ_δ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0})} \leq 2\|u - 1\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \to 0, \\ &\|X_i^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0})} \leq 2\|X_i\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exist R_1 and k_1 such that for all $k > k_1$,

$$\|u_k^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-2\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_1})} \leq c, \quad \|(X_k^i)^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-2\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_1})} \leq c.$$

Once we derive these estimates, the decay rates for $f_1, f_{i,4}$ are improved. The bootstrap argument allows us to conclude that for some $R_2 \geq R_1$, $k_2 \geq k_1$,

$$\|u_k^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_2})} \leq c, \quad \|(X_k^i)^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_2})} \leq c \text{ for all } k > k_2.$$

Therefore, for any given ϵ and $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta)$, there exist R and k_0 so that for all $k > k_0$,

$$\|u_k^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M \setminus B_R)} \leq C R^{\delta_0 - \delta} \leq \epsilon, \quad \|(X_k^i)^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M \setminus B_R)} \leq \epsilon.$$

Furthermore, by Corollary 3.5, the supports of (h_k, q_k) are uniformly bounded in k. Hence we have

$$\|\bar{g}_k^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M \setminus B_R)} \leq \epsilon.$$

Similarly, we have the estimate for $\bar{\tau}$.

2. Convergence of the Center of Mass and the Angular Momentum.

To prove the center of mass and the angular momentum of $(\bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$ converge to those of (g, π), the same idea of proving convergence of the mass and the linear momentum in [CS06] is employed.

$$\begin{aligned}
|C_I^\alpha(g) - C_I^\alpha(\bar{g})| \le {} & \left| C_I^\alpha(g) - \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g \right| \\
& + \left| \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} \big(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} \bar{g}_{ij}\big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \nu_{\bar{g}}^j \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \right| \\
& + \left| C_I^\alpha(\bar{g}) - \int_{|x|=r} \big(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} \bar{g}_{ij}\big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \nu_{\bar{g}}^j \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the third terms can be written as integrals over $\{|x| \ge r\}$ by the divergence theorem. We will also use the fact that $\{|x| \ge r\}$ is centrically symmetric, i.e. $x, -x \in \{|x| \ge r\}$, to estimate those integrals over $\{|x| \ge r\}$. For the first integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_I^\alpha(g) - \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g \\
= & \int_{|x|\ge r} x^\alpha R_g - 2x^\alpha (g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \\
& + \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \Gamma_{kl}^k g^{lj} \, d\mathrm{vol}_g. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $H = \{x \in M \setminus B_r : x^1 \ge 0\}$ be the half space. Then we can rewrite the above integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_H x^\alpha \big(R_g^{odd} \sqrt{g} + R_g(-x) \sqrt{g}^{odd}\big) \, dx \\
& - \int_H 2x^\alpha (g^{ij})^{odd} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \sqrt{g} \, dx \\
& - \int_H 2x^\alpha (g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) \left(\Big(R_{ij}^{odd} - \frac{1}{2} R_g^{odd} g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g(-x) g_{ij}^{odd}\Big) \sqrt{g} + \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \sqrt{g}^{odd} \right) \, dx \\
& + \int_H \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \Big(R_{ij}^{odd} - \frac{1}{2} R_g^{odd} g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}^{odd}\Big) \Gamma_{kl}^k g^{lj} \sqrt{g} \, dx \\
& + \int_H \big(R_{ij}(-x) - \frac{1}{2} R_g(-x) g_{ij}(-x)\big) \left((\Gamma_{kl}^k)^{even} g^{lj} \sqrt{g} + \Gamma_{kl}^k(-x) \Big({g^{lj}}^{odd} \sqrt{g} + g^{lj} \sqrt{g}^{odd} \Big) \right) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We substitute for R_g in the first integral by using the constraint equation $R_g = -\frac{1}{2}\big(Tr_g \pi\big)^2 + |\pi|_g^2$. We then bound above integrals symbolically by the following,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_1 \int_H |x| \Big(|\pi^{even}||\pi| + |\pi|^2 |g^{odd}| + |g^{odd}||D^2 g| + |g-\delta||D^2(g^{odd})| \Big)\, dx \\
& + c_1 \int_H |x|^2 \Big(|D^2(g^{odd})||Dg| + |D^2 g||D(g^{odd})| + |D^2 g||Dg||g^{odd}| \Big)\, dx \\
\leq \; & c_2 \Big(\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}} \|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}} \\
& \qquad + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}} \|g\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}} \Big) r^{1-2\delta}, \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

where c_1, c_2 are constants independent of g and π. The weighted Sobolev norms above are over the region $\{|x| \geq r\}$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left| C_I^\alpha(\bar{g}) - \int_{|x|=r} \big(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} \bar{g}_{ij} \big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \nu^j_{\bar{g}} \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \right| \\
\leq \; & c_2 \Big(\|\bar{\pi}^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}} \|\bar{\pi}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|\bar{\pi}\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} \|\bar{g}^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}} \\
& \qquad + \|\bar{g}^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}} \|\bar{g}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}} \Big) r^{1-2\delta}. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the surface integral, we can assume $k \gg r$ (recall k is the radius of which we cut off the original data) and then $\bar{g} = u^4 g$ on $\{|x| < 2r\}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij} \big) \big(Y^\alpha\big) \nu^j_g \, d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} \big(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} \bar{g}_{ij} \big) \big(Y^\alpha\big) \nu^j_{\bar{g}} \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \\
= \; & \int_{|x|=r} \Big((R_{ij} - u^2 \bar{R}_{ij}) - \frac{1}{2} (R_g - u^6 \bar{R}) g_{ij} \Big) (Y^\alpha)^i \nu^j_g \, d\sigma_g \\
= \; & \int_{|x|=r} \Big((1-u^2) R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (1-u^6) R_g g_{ij} \Big) (Y^\alpha)^i \nu^j_g \, d\sigma_g \\
& + \int_{|x|=r} \Big(u^2 (R_{ij} - \bar{R}_{ij}) - \frac{1}{2} u^6 (R_g - \bar{R}) g_{ij} \Big) (Y^\alpha)^i \nu^j_g \, d\sigma_g \\
\leq \; & c_6 \max_{|x|=r} \Big(|1-u||x|^{-\delta} \Big) \max_{|x|=r} \Big(|D^2 g||x|^{2+\delta} \Big) r^{2-2\delta} \\
& + c_6 \max_{|x|=r} \big| D^2(g-\bar{g}) \big| |x|^{2+\delta} r^{2-\delta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the Sobolev inequality for weighted Sobolev spaces (see [B86]). For $n - kp < 0$ where $n = \dim M = 3$, we have $\|w\|_{W^{0,\infty}_{-\delta}(M)} \leq c \|w\|_{W^{k,p}_{-\delta}(M)}$. Therefore, for $p > 3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max_{|x|=r} |D^2(g-\bar{g})||x|^{2+\delta} \; & \leq \; \max_{M \setminus B_r} |D^2(g-\bar{g})||x|^{2+\delta} \\
& = \; \|D^2(g-\bar{g})\|_{W^{0,\infty}_{-2-\delta}(M \setminus B_r)} \leq c \|D^2(g-\bar{g})\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M \setminus B_r)} \\
& \leq \; c \|g - \bar{g}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M \setminus B_r)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, using the Sobolev inequality on the other term, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big)(Y^\alpha)\nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} \big(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}\bar{g}_{ij}\big)(Y^\alpha)\nu_{\bar{g}}^j \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \\ \leq \;& c_7\Big(\|1-u\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g-\bar{g}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big) r^{2-\delta}. \qquad (3.10)\end{aligned}$$

To conclude the argument, for given ϵ, let R_1 be a constant so that in (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned}\max\Big\{&c_2\Big(\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}}\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}}\|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}}\|g\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}}\Big)R_1^{1-2\delta}, \\ &c_2\Big(\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}}\Big)R_1^{1-2\delta}\Big\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16}.\end{aligned}$$

For this ϵ, there exist $R_2 \geq R_1$ and k_0 so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|1-u_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16c_7R_2^{2-\delta}}, \quad \|g-\bar{g}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16c_7R_2^{2-\delta}}, \\ &\|\pi-\bar{\pi}_k\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{16c_2R_2^{2-\delta}}, \quad \|\bar{g}_k^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_2})} \leq \epsilon, \\ &\|\bar{\pi}_k^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_2})} \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0.\end{aligned}$$

As a result, using the estimates (3.8) (3.9) (3.10) and try r in those estimates equal to R_2, we can conclude for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$|C_I^\alpha(g) - C_I^\alpha(\bar{g}_k)| < \epsilon.$$

To prove that angular momentum of $\bar{g}$ is close to that of g, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}&J_\alpha - \int_{|x|=r} \pi_{jk}(Z^\alpha)^j \nu_g^k \, d\sigma_g = \int_{|x|\geq r} div_g\big(\pi_{jk}(Z^\alpha)^j\big) \, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\ =\;& \int_{|x|\geq r} \pi_{jk} g^{kl}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}(Z^\alpha)^j + (Z^\alpha)^m \Gamma^j_{ml}\Big) \, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\ =\;& \int_{|x|\geq r} \pi_{jk}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(Z^\alpha)^j + \pi_{jk}(g^{kl}-\delta^{kl})\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}(Z^\alpha)^j + (Z^\alpha)^m\Gamma^j_{ml}\Big) \, d\mathrm{vol}_g.\end{aligned}$$

The first term is zero since π_{jk} is a symmetric tensor and Z^α is a Killing vector field. The other terms after integration are bounded by $Cr^{1-2\delta}$. Then the rest of the argument works the same as the case of center of mass. □

In the proof of convergence of the center of mass and the angular momentum, we showed that the limits at infinity can be approximated by surface integrals at the finite radius and the difference for surface integrals at the finite radius is arbitrarily small. Since we will use this argument several times through this article, we formulate the argument into the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $F(g)$ be a vector field depending on $x, g_{ij}, Dg_{ij}, D^2g_{ij}$ smoothly defined in $M \setminus B_{R_0}$. Let $a, b > 0$, and let r be the radius. Assume the following estimates hold for $k > r$, and for $g, \bar{g}_k$ satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 3.2 (Density Theorem).*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{|x|\geq r} \mathrm{div}_g F(g)\, d\mathrm{vol}_g\right| &\leq c\Big(\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \\ &\quad + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \\ &\quad + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g\|^2_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big) r^{-a}, \qquad (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{|x|=r} F(g)\cdot \nu_g\, d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} F(\bar{g}_k)\cdot \nu_{\bar{g}_k}\, d\sigma_{\bar{g}_k}\right| \\ &\leq c\Big(\|1-u\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g-\bar{g}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big) r^{b}. \qquad (3.12)\end{aligned}$$

Then given $\epsilon > 0$*, there exists* k_0 *such that*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} F(g)\cdot \nu_g\, d\sigma_g = \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} F(\bar{g}_k)\cdot\nu_{\bar{g}_k}\, d\sigma_{\bar{g}_k} + \epsilon, \qquad \text{for all } k > k_0.$$

Remark. *If we replace the normal vectors and the volume forms of the integrals in the above assumptions by those with respect to the induced metric in the Euclidean space, the analogous result is the following: assume the following estimates hold*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{|x|\geq r} \mathrm{div}_\delta F(g)\, d\mathrm{vol}_0\right| &\leq c\Big(\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \\ &\quad + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \\ &\quad + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g\|^2_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big) r^{-a}, \qquad (3.13)\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{|x|=r} F(g)\cdot \nu_0\, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r} F(\bar{g}_k)\cdot \nu_0\, d\sigma_0\right| \\ &\leq c\Big(\|1-u\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \|g-\bar{g}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big) r^{b}. \qquad (3.14)\end{aligned}$$

Then given $\epsilon > 0$*, there exists* k_0 *such that*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} F(g)\cdot \nu_0\, d\sigma_0 = \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} F(\bar{g}_k)\cdot\nu_0\, d\sigma_0 + \epsilon, \qquad \text{for all } k > k_0.$$

4. PROPERTIES OF THE INTRINSICS DEFINITION

In [B86, Section 4], Bartnik proves that the ADM mass is a geometric invariant and satisfies natural properties. Along the same lines , we will show that the intrinsic definition of center of mass (1.4) is well-defined and has corresponding change of coordinate under the transformation at the infinity. We will first show that the intrinsic definition is robust in the sense that we can integrate over a general class of surfaces, and those integrals converge to the same vector.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose* (M, g, K) *is AF-RT (1.3). Let* $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset M$ *be closed sets such that the sets* $S_k = \partial D_k$ *are connected* 2*-dimensional* C^1*-submanifolds without boundary which satisfy*

$$r_k = \inf\{|x| : x \in S_k\} \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

$$r_k^{-2}\text{area}(S_k) \text{ is bounded as } k \to \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{vol}\{D_k \setminus D_k^-\} = O(r_k^{3^-}), \\ &\quad \text{where } D_k^- = D_k \cap \{-D_k\} \text{ and } 3^- \text{ is a number less than } 3 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Then the center of mass defined by

$$C_I^\alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{S_k} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu^j_g \, d\sigma_g, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, 3$$

is independent of the sequence $\{S_k\}$.

Remark. The first two conditions (4.1), (4.2) on S_k are the conditions considered by Bartnik in [B86] to ensure the ADM mass is well-defined. The volume growth condition (4.3) allows us to consider a general class of surfaces which are roughly symmetric; that is, the non-symmetric region $D_k \setminus D_k^-$ of D_k has the volume growth slightly less than the volume growth of arbitrary regions in M.

Proof. As the equation (3.7), the divergence theorem gives us

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S_k} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu^j_g \, d\sigma_g = \int_{S_1} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu^j_g \, d\sigma_g \\ &+ \int_{D_k \setminus D_1} x^\alpha R_g - 2x^\alpha (g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big) + \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big) \big(Y^\alpha\big)^i \Gamma^k_{kl} g^{lj} \, d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

We can decompose the integral over $D_k \setminus D_1 = \{D_k \setminus D_k^-\} \cup \{D_k^- \setminus D_1^+\} \cup \{D_1^+ \setminus D_1\}$ into three integrals, where $D_1^+ = D_1 \cup \{-D_1\}$. The first integral over $\{D_k \setminus D_k^-\}$ converges because of the volume growth condition (4.3). The second integral over $\{D_k^- \setminus D_1^+\}$ converges because the region is centrically symmetric and the initial data set is AF-RT. After taking limits, the right hand side has a limit independent of the the sequence $\{S_k\}$.

□

Assume $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are two AF coordinates on $(M \setminus B_{R_0}, g)$. Assume F is the transition function between these two coordinates and $y = F(x)$. In [B86, Corollary 3.2], it is shown that the only possible coordinates changes for AF manifolds at infinity are rotation and translation. More precisely, there is a rigid motion of $\mathbb{R}^3$, $(\mathcal{O}^i_j, a) \in O(3,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$|F(x) - (\mathcal{O}x + a)| \in W^{2,\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_0}).$$

Similarly, if we use the same argument in that corollary for AF-RT manifolds, we derive

$$F^{odd}(x) \in W^{2,\infty}_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_0}).$$

Because the center of mass is a quantity depending on the coordinates, we now show that centers of mass in $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ coordinates have the corresponding translation and rotation. An interesting phenomenon is that compared to rotation, translation is a more subtle rigid motion. If the translation a is not zero, Density Theorem is involved in the proof.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ be two distinct AF-RT (1.3) coordinates for (M, g, K) satisfying the change of coordinates as we described above. Assume $C_{I,x}$ and $C_{I,y}$ are the centers of mass defined by the intrinsic definition (1.4) in these two coordinates, then*

$$C_{I,y} = \mathcal{O}C_{I,x} + a.$$

Proof. The metric g in the cotangent spaces induced from $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ can be written locally as

$$ds^2 = g_{kl}(x)dx^k dx^l = \widetilde{g}_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j = \widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)d(\mathcal{O}^i_k x^k + a)d(\mathcal{O}^j_l x^l + a) + O(|x|^{-1}).$$

Expanding the above terms, we get

$$g_{kl}(x) = \widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\mathcal{O}^i_k\mathcal{O}^j_l + e_1(x)$$

where the error term $e_1 \in W^{1,\infty}_{-1}$ and $e_1^{odd} \in W^{1,\infty}_{-2}$. Then a straightforward calculation gives us

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{kl}}{\partial x^m \partial x^n} = \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{g}_{ij}}{\partial y^p \partial y^q}\mathcal{O}^i_k\mathcal{O}^j_l\mathcal{O}^p_m\mathcal{O}^q_n + e_2,$$

and the formulas for Ricci and scalar curvatures,

$$R_{kl}(x) = \widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\mathcal{O}^i_k\mathcal{O}^j_l + e_3(x)$$
$$R(x) = \widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big) + e_4(x)$$

where $e_q(x) \in O(|x|^{-4})$ and $e_q^{odd}(x) = O(|x|^{-5})$ for $q = 2, 3, 4$. To calculate $C_{I,y}$, Proposition 4.1 allows us to integrate over $\{|y-a| = r\}$,

$$C^\alpha_{I,y} = \frac{1}{16\pi m}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|y-a|=r}\Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}(y) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}(y)\widetilde{g}_{ij}(y)\Big)\Big(|y|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2y^\alpha y^i\Big)\frac{y^j - a^j}{|y-a|}\,d\sigma_0.$$

We would like replace $y = F(x)$ in the above identity. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|y|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2y^\alpha y^i &= \big(|x|^2 - 2\mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta x^\beta \mathcal{O}^i_k x^k\big)\delta^{\alpha i} + 2\mathcal{O}x\cdot a\delta^{\alpha i}\\
&\quad -2\big(a^\alpha \mathcal{O}^i_k x^k + \mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta x^\beta a^i\big) + O(1),\\
\frac{y^j - \alpha^j}{|y-\alpha|} &= \frac{\mathcal{O}^j_l x^l}{|x|} + e_5,
\end{aligned}$$

where $e_5 \in W^{2,\infty}_{-1}$ and $e_5^{odd} \in W^{2,\infty}_{-2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|y-a|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}(y) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}(y)\widetilde{g}_{ij}(y)\Big)\Big(|y|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2y^\alpha y^i\Big)\frac{y^j - a^j}{|y-a|}\, d\sigma_0\\
&= \int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\Big(|x|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2\mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta x^\beta \mathcal{O}^i_k x^k\Big)\frac{\mathcal{O}^j_l x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0\\
&\quad + \int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\Big(- 2a^\alpha \mathcal{O}^i_k x^k \frac{\mathcal{O}^j_l x^l}{|x|}\Big)\, d\sigma_0\\
&\quad + \int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\Big(2\mathcal{O}x\cdot a\delta^{\alpha i} - 2\mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta x^\beta a^i\Big)\frac{\mathcal{O}^j_l x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0\\
&\quad + O(r^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that the first integral I_1 converges to $16\pi m\mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta C^\beta_{I,x}$, the second integral I_2 converges to $16\pi m a^\alpha$, and the third integral I_3 converges to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\mathcal{O}^i_k \mathcal{O}^j_l\Big(|x|^2\mathcal{O}^\alpha_k - 2\mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta x^\beta x^k\Big)\frac{x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0\\
&= \mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta \int_{|x|=r}\Big(R_{kl}(x) - \frac{1}{2}R_g(x)g_{kl}(x)\Big)\Big(|x|^2\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta x^k\Big)\frac{x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0 + O(r^{-1})\\
&= 16\pi m\mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta C^\beta_{I,x} + O(r^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the formula for ADM mass (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= a^\alpha \int_{|x|=r}\Big(R_{kl}(x) - \frac{1}{2}R_g(x)g_{kl}(x)\Big)\Big(- 2x^k\frac{x^l}{|x|}\Big)\, d\sigma_0 + O(r^{-1})\\
&= 16\pi m a^\alpha + O(r^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

To see that I_3 converges to 0, we simplify the expression by letting $b = \mathcal{O}^T a$, and then

$$I_3 = \mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta \int_{|x|=r}\Big(R_{kl}(x) - \frac{1}{2}R_g(x)g_{kl}(x)\Big)\Big(2x\cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k\Big)\frac{x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0.$$

By the fact that $\left(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\right) \cdot \frac{x^k}{|x|} = 0$, the scalar curvature term vanishes after taking a limit, so we only need to prove

$$\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta} \int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x)\Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\Big) \frac{x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0 = O(r^{-1}).$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$\int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x)\Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\Big) \nu_g^l\, d\sigma_g = O(r^{-1}).$$

A straightforward calculation shows this identity holds if the metric is conformally flat outside a compact set, so we would like to approximate g by $\bar{g}$ which have harmonic asymptotics, and then apply Lemma 3.9. We need to check if conditions (3.11) and (3.12) hold. Using the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x|\geq r} \operatorname{div}_g\Big(R_{kl}(x)\big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\big)\Big)\, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\
=&\int_{|x|\geq r} (\operatorname{div}_g R_{kl})\big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\big) + \underbrace{R_{kl}\big(2b^l\delta^{\beta k} - 2\delta^{\beta l} b^k\big)}_{\text{sum to } =0}\, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\
&+ \int_{|x|\geq r} R_{kl}\big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\big) g^{ln}\Gamma^m_{mn}\, d\mathrm{vol}_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Symbolically, the above integral is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x|\geq r} \operatorname{div}_g\Big(R_{kl}(x)\big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\big)\Big)\, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\
\leq& c\Big(\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g\|^2_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big) r^{1-2\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence condition (3.11) holds. The condition (3.12) holds because the Sobolev inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x)\Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\Big) \nu_g^l\, d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} \bar{R}_{kl}(x)\Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\Big) \nu_{\bar{g}}^l\, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \\
\leq& c\|g - \bar{g}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} r^{1-\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.9, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x)\Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\Big) \nu_g^l\, d\sigma_g \\
=& \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \bar{R}_{kl}(x)\Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta} b^k\Big) \nu_{\bar{g}}^l\, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} + \epsilon = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ can be chosen arbitrarily small, I_3 converges to 0. Therefore,

$$C^{\alpha}_{I,y} = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \lim_{r\to\infty} I_1 + I_2 + I_3 = \mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta} C^{\beta}_{I,x} + a^{\alpha}.$$

□

5. The Corvino-Schoen Center of Mass

In [CS06], Corvino and Schoen define the center of mass (1.8) for AF-RT manifolds. In this section, we will show that the intrinsic definition we propose is actually equal to the Corvino-Schoen definition (Theorem 1). In other words, the intrinsic definition (1.4) is a coordinate-free expression of the Corvino-Schoen definition.

Assume $\bar{g}$ is the approximating solution in Theorem 3.2 (Density Theorem). A straightforward calculation shows $C_I(\bar{g}) = C_{CS}(\bar{g})$. As proven in Theorem 3.2, $C_I(g)$ can be approximated by $C_I(\bar{g})$. If we prove that $C_{CS}(g)$ can also be approximated by $C_{CS}(\bar{g})$, then Theorem 1 follows.

Proof of Theorem 1. First notice that the Corvino-Schoen definition is equal to the following expression where the normal vector and the volume form are with respect to the induced metric in the Euclidean space

$$C_{CS}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{16\pi m}\left(\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} x^{\alpha}(g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j \, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}(h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^{\alpha})\, d\sigma_0\right).$$

A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{|x|=r_2} x^{\alpha}(g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j \, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r_2}(h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^{\alpha})\, d\sigma_0\right)\\
&\quad - \left(\int_{|x|=r_1} x^{\alpha}(g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j \, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r_1}(h_{i\alpha}\nu^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^{\alpha})\, d\sigma_0\right)\\
&= \int_{r_1\le|x|\le r_2} x^{\alpha}(g_{ij,ij} - g_{ii,jj})\, d\mathrm{vol}_0
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the conditions (3.13) and (3.14) in Lemma 3.9 (and the remark afterward) hold because $g_{ij,ij} - g_{ii,jj}$ is the leading order term of R_g, and by the constraint equation (3.1),

$$\left|\int_{|x|\ge r} x^{\alpha}(g_{ij,ij} - g_{ii,jj})\, d\mathrm{vol}_0\right| \le c\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|\pi^{odd}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} r^{1-2\delta}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(\int_{|x|=r} x^{\alpha}(g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j \, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}(h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^{\alpha})\, d\sigma_0\right)\right.\\
&\quad \left. - \left(\int_{|x|=r} x^{\alpha}(\bar{g}_{ij,i} - \bar{g}_{ii,j})\nu_0^j \, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}(\bar{h}_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - \bar{h}_{ii}\nu_0^{\alpha})\, d\sigma_0\right)\right|\\
\le\ & c\|g - \bar{g}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} r^{2-\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can apply Lemma 3.9 and have

$$\begin{aligned}
C^\alpha_{CS} &= \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j \, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r} (h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0 \\
&= \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha (\bar g_{ij,i} - \bar g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j \, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r} (\bar h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - \bar h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0 + \epsilon \\
&= C^\alpha_{CS}(\bar g) + \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6. THE HUISKEN-YAU CENTER OF MASS

In the case that (M, g, K) is SAF (1.6), Huisken-Yau [HY96] and Ye [Y96] prove the existence and uniqueness of the constant mean curvature foliation in the exterior region of M, if $m > 0$. Huisken and Yau use the volume preserving mean curvature flow to evolve each Euclidean sphere centered at the origin with a large radius and they show that the Euclidean sphere converges to a surface with constant mean curvature. Furthermore, they prove those surfaces with constant mean curvature are approximately round, and their approximate centers converge as follows:

$$C^\alpha_{HY} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\int_{M_r} z^\alpha \, d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_r} d\sigma_0}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \tag{1.7}$$

where $\{M_r\}$ are leaves of the foliation and z is the position vector. They define this to be the center of mass for M.

Instead of using volume preserving mean curvature flow, Ye uses the implicit function theorem to find a surface with constant mean curvature which is perturbed in the normal direction away from the Euclidean sphere $S_R(p)$ for some suitable center p and for the radius R large. Although it is not emphasized in his paper, p can represent the center for each constant mean curvature surface because this surface is roughly round. Furthermore, we will show that p converges to C_{CS} and use this fact to prove $C_{HY} = C_{CS}$. Then $C_I = C_{HY}$ follows because the Corvino-Schoen center of mass C_{CS} is equal to the intrinsic definition C_I by Theorem 1. Before we prove Theorem 2, we will need a technical lemma (Lemma 6.1) which suggests that the center of the surface p converges to C_{CS}.

Let ν be the outward unit normal vector field on $S_R(p)$ with respect to the metric g. We parallel transport ν along the normal direction so that it is well-defined in a tubular neighborhood of $S_R(p)$. Hence the mean curvature on $y \in S_R(p)$ is $\mathrm{div}_{S_R(p)}\nu = \mathrm{div}_g \nu$, the divergence operator of the ambient manifold M.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu &= \frac{\nabla|y-p|}{\big|\nabla|y-p|\big|_g}\\
&= \left(1-\frac{m}{|y|}+\frac{3m^2}{2|y|^2}+\frac{1}{2}p_{qr}\frac{(y^q-p^q)(y^r-p^r)}{|y-p|^2}\right)\frac{y^l-p^l}{|y-p|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}\\
&\quad -p_{kl}\frac{y^k-p^k}{|y-p|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}+O(R^{-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives us the mean curvature on $S_R(p)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}_g\nu &= \frac{2}{|y-p|}-\frac{4m}{|y-p|^2}+\frac{6m(y-p)\cdot p}{|y-p|^4}+\frac{9m^2}{|y-p|^3}\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}p_{ij,k}(y)\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3}+2p_{ij}(y)\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)}{|y-p|^3}\\
&\quad -p_{ij,i}(y)\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}-\frac{p_{ii}(y)}{|y-p|}+\frac{1}{2}p_{ii,j}(y)\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}+E_0, \qquad (6.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|E_0|\le \frac{c}{R^4}\big(1+|p|\big)$ for some constant c depending only on the metric g.

Lemma 6.1. *For R large,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|y-p|=R}(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{2}p_{ij,k}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3}\right)d\sigma_0\\
&+\int_{|y-p|=R}(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)\left(2p_{ij}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)}{|y-p|^3}\right)d\sigma_0\\
&+\int_{|y-p|=R}(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)\left(-p_{ij,i}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}-\frac{p_{ii}}{|y-p|}+\frac{1}{2}p_{ii,j}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}\right)d\sigma_0\\
=\;& -8m\pi C^\alpha_{CS}+O(R^{-1}), \qquad \alpha=1,2,3. \qquad (6.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1. We denote the first integral by

$$\mathcal{I}(R)=\int_{|y-p|=R}(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{2}p_{ij,k}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3}\right)d\sigma_0.$$

In the proof, we will rewrite $\mathcal{I}(R)$, and then some cancellation allows us to rearrange the left hand side of (6.2) so that it has an expression corresponding to C^α_{CS}.

Since the coordinate is only defined outside a compact set of M, we can use the divergence theorem only in the annular region $A = \{R \le |y-p| \le R_1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(R_1) &= \mathcal{I}(R) + \frac{1}{2}\int_A \left(p_{ij,k} \frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,i} d\mathrm{vol}_0 \\
&= \mathcal{I}(R) + \frac{1}{2}\int_A \left(p_{ij,i} \frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,k} d\mathrm{vol}_0 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\int_A p_{ij,i}\left(\frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,k} d\mathrm{vol}_0 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}\int_A p_{ij,k}\left(\frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,i} d\mathrm{vol}_0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Using the divergence theorem and simplifying the expression, we get an identity containing purely boundary terms

$$\mathcal{I}(R_1) = \mathcal{I}(R) + \mathcal{J}(R_1) - \mathcal{J}(R), \qquad \text{for all } R_1 > R$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}(R) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha) p_{ij,i} \frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0 \\
&\quad -2\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha-p^\alpha) p_{ij} \frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)}{|y-p|^3}\, d\sigma_0 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}\int_{|y-p|=R} p_{ii}\frac{y^\alpha-p^\alpha}{|y-p|} d\sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{|y-p|=R} p_{i\alpha}\frac{y^i-p^i}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0 .
\end{aligned}$$

To prove that $I(R) = J(R)$, we would like to apply Lemma 3.9. It is easy to check that the conditions (3.13) and (3.14) hold, so we get

$$\mathcal{I}(R) - \mathcal{J}(R) = \lim_{R_1\to\infty} \mathcal{I}(R_1) - \mathcal{J}(R_1) = \lim_{R_1\to\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\bar{p}}(R_1) - \mathcal{J}_{\bar{p}}(R_1) + \epsilon,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{\bar{p}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\bar{p}}$ denote the integrals that we obtain by replacing p_{ij} by $\bar{p}_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$, where $\bar{p}_{ij}$ are $O(|y|^{-2})$-terms in the approximating solutions $\bar{g}_{ij}$ in Theorem 3.2 (Density Theorem). More precisely, $\bar{g}_{ij}$ has this expansion

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \Big(1 + \frac{a}{|y|}\Big)\delta_{ij} + O(|y|^{-2}),$$

and $\bar{p}_{ij}$ is defined by

$$\bar{p}_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} - \Big(1+\frac{a}{|y|}\Big)\delta_{ij}.$$

Because $\bar{p}_{ij}^{odd} = \frac{c\cdot y}{|y|^3} + O(|y|^{-3})$ as in (3.4), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{R_1\to\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\bar{p}}(R_1) - \mathcal{J}_{\bar{p}}(R_1) = 0.$$

Therefore, we conclude $\mathcal{I}(R) = \mathcal{J}(R)$. We then replace $\mathcal{I}(R)$ by $\mathcal{J}(R)$ in the identity (6.2) and derive that the left hand side is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)(p_{ij,i} - p_{ii,j})\frac{y^j - p^j}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0 \right.$$
$$\left. - \int_{|y-p|=R} p_{i\alpha}\frac{y^i - p^i}{|x-p|} - p_{ii}\frac{y^\alpha - p^\alpha}{|x-p|}\, d\sigma_0\right).$$

We rewrite the above integrals into the summation of an expression of center of mass (1.8) and the remainder terms. Because the explicit calculations using $g_{ij} - p_{ij} = \left(1 + \frac{2m}{|x|}\right)\delta_{ij}$ give us the remainder terms has lower order, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)(g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\frac{y^j - p^j}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0 \right.\\
&\qquad \left. - \int_{|y-p|=R} g_{i\alpha}\frac{y^i - p^i}{|x-p|} - g_{ii}\frac{y^\alpha - p^\alpha}{|x-p|}\, d\sigma_0\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)\left((g_{ij,i} - p_{ij,i}) - (g_{ii,j} - p_{ii,j})\right)\frac{y^j - p^j}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{|y-p|=R} (g_{i\alpha} - p_{i\alpha})\frac{y^i - p^i}{|x-p|} - (g_{ii} - p_{ii})\frac{y^\alpha - p^\alpha}{|x-p|}\, d\sigma_0\right)\\
= \quad & -8\pi m C_{CS}^\alpha + O(R^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $F_{p,R} : S_1(0) \to M$ be an embedding defined by $y = F_{p,R}(x) = Rx + p$, that is, $F_{p,R}(S_1(0)) = S_R(p)$, the Euclidean sphere centered at p with the radius R in M. We consider the perturbation along the normal direction on $S_R(p)$ defined by $\Sigma = \{y + \lambda\phi\nu : y \in S_R(p)\}$ for a parameter $\lambda > 0$, and for $\phi \in C^{2,\alpha}(S_R(p))$ with $\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le 1$. We denote the mean curvature on Σ by $H(p, R, \lambda\phi)$. Using this notation, $H(p, R, 0) = \mathrm{div}_g \nu$, the mean curvature of $S_R(p)$. By Taylor's theorem for mappings between two Banach Spaces, we have the following expansion in the ϕ-component at 0,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(p, R, \lambda\phi) \quad &= \quad H(p, R, 0) + dH(p, R, 0)\lambda\phi\\
&\qquad + \int_0^1 (1-s)\Big(d^2H\big(p, R, s(\lambda\phi)\big)\lambda^2\phi\phi\Big)ds
\end{aligned}$$

where dH and d^2H are the first and second Fréchet derivatives in the ϕ-component. In our case, $dH(p, R, 0)$ is the linearized mean curvature operator on $S_R(p)$, i.e.

$$dH(p, R, 0) = \Delta_{S_R(p)} + |A|_g^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu),$$

where $\Delta_{S_R(p)}$ is the Laplacian operator on $S_R(p)$ with respect to the induced metric from g, A is the second fundamental form on $S_R(p)$ and $Ric(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the Ricci curvature of M. In [HY96], the estimates on the eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 of A are derived and

$$|A|_g^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + O(R^{-3}) = \frac{2}{R^2} + O(R^{-3}), \quad Ric(\nu,\nu) = O(R^{-3}).$$

For the second Fréchet derivative in the Taylor expansion, we have

$$d^2 H\big(p, R, s(\lambda\phi)\big)\lambda^2\phi\phi = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} H\big(p, R, t(\lambda\phi)\big)\Big|_{t=s}.$$

The right hand side is the second derivative of the mean curvature of the surface $\{y + s(\lambda\phi)\nu : y \in S_R(p)\}$. For R large, the unit outward normal vector field on $\{y + s(\lambda\phi)\nu : y \in S_R(p)\}$ is close to ν, and a straightforward calculation gives us

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} H\big(p, R, t(\lambda\phi)\big)\right| \le c\bigg(\frac{\lambda|\phi|}{R^3} + \frac{\lambda^2|D\phi||\phi|}{R^2} + \frac{\lambda^2|D\phi|^2}{R} + \lambda^3|\phi||D\phi||D^2\phi|\bigg),$$

where the constant c is independent of p, R, ϕ.

To manage those terms in the Taylor expansion of the mean curvature for Σ,

$$\begin{aligned} H(p,R,\lambda\phi) \quad &= \quad H(p,R,0) + \Delta_{S_R(p)}\lambda\phi + \big(|A|_g^2 + Ric(\nu,\nu)\big)\,\lambda\phi \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-s)\Big(d^2 H\big(p,R,s(\lambda\phi)\big)\lambda^2\phi\phi\Big)ds, \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

let G and E_1 be defined as follows where G is the lower order terms of the mean curvature of $S_R(p)$ from (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} G(y) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2}p_{ij,k}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3} + 2p_{ij}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)}{|y-p|^3} \\ &\quad -p_{ij,i}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|} - \frac{p_{ii}}{|y-p|} + \frac{1}{2}p_{ii,j}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E_1(y) = E_0 + \Big(|A|_g^2 - \frac{2}{R^2}\Big)\lambda\phi + Ric(\nu,\nu)\lambda\phi + \int_0^1 (1-s)\Big(d^2 H\big(p,R,s\lambda\phi)\big)\lambda^2\phi\phi\Big)ds.$$

G is the good term that gives us C_{CS} as indicated in Lemma 6.1 and $E_1(y)$ is an error term bounded as follows for some constant c independent of p, R, ϕ.

$$|E_1| \le \frac{c}{R^4}(1+|p|) + \frac{c}{R^3}\bigg(\lambda|\phi| + R\lambda^2|D\phi||\phi| + R^2\lambda^2|D\phi|^2 + R^3\lambda^3|\phi||D\phi||D^2\phi|\bigg).$$

From identities (6.1) and (6.3),

$$H(p,R,\lambda\phi) = \frac{2}{R} - \frac{4m}{R^2} + \frac{6m(y-p)\cdot p}{R^4} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G(y) + \lambda\Delta_{S_R(p)}\phi + \frac{2}{R^2}\lambda\phi + E_1(y).$$

To find the surface with constant mean curvature, we need to find p, R, ϕ so that

$$H(p, R, \lambda\phi) = \frac{2}{R} - \frac{4m}{R^2}.$$

It is equivalent to solving

$$0 = \frac{6m(y-p)\cdot p}{R^4} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G(y) + \lambda\Delta_{S_R(p)}\phi + \frac{2}{R^2}\lambda\phi + E_1(y) \tag{6.4}$$

We pull back the equation (6.4) via the map $F_{p,R}$, and we get the following equation on $S_1(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad = \quad & \frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^3} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G\circ F_{p,R}(x) + \lambda\Delta_{S_1(0)}\psi(x) + \frac{2}{R^2}\lambda\psi(x) \\ & + E_1 \circ F_{p,R}(x) \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

for $p, R, \psi(x)$, where $\Delta_{S_1(0)}$ is the Laplacian on $S_1(0)$ with respect to the pull back metric and $\psi = \phi\circ F_{p,R}(x) = \phi(Rx+p)$ is the pull back of ϕ. Define the operator L by

$$L \equiv -\Delta_0 - 2 : C^{2,\alpha}\big(S_1(0)\big) \to C^{0,\alpha}\big(S_1(0)\big)$$

where Δ_0 is Laplacian on $S_1(0)$ with respect to the round metric induced by the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Because the metric g is asymptotically flat, the difference between Δ_0 and $\Delta_{S_1(0)}$ is small and can be treated as a error term. Therefore, the identity (6.5) is equal to

$$0 = \frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^3} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G\circ F_{p,R}(x) - \frac{1}{R^2}\lambda L\psi(x) + \widetilde{E}_1\circ F_{p,R}(x),$$

where $\widetilde{E}_1$ has the same bound as E_1. We let $\lambda = \frac{1}{R^a}$ for some fixed $a\in(0,1)$ and multiply R^{2+a} on both sides of the above equation, then

$$L\psi(x) = \frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} + \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}} + R^{2+a}G\circ F_{p,R}(x) + R^{2+a}\widetilde{E}_1\circ F_{p,R}(x) \tag{6.6}$$

Furthermore, since $D_x\psi = (D_y\phi)R$,

$$|\widetilde{E}_1\circ F_{p,R}(x)| \quad \le \quad \frac{C}{R^4}\big(1+|p|\big) + \frac{C}{R^3}\left(\frac{|\psi|}{R^a} + \frac{|D\psi||\psi|}{R^{2a}} + \frac{|D\psi|^2}{R^{2a}} + \frac{|\psi||D\psi||D^2\psi|}{R^{3a}}\right).$$

In order to find p, R and ψ to solve (6.6), first we perturb $p = p(R,\psi)$ so that the right hand side of (6.6) is inside Range$L$ for any R and ψ. We will also show that $p = C_{CS} + e$ where e is the error term containing lower order terms in R and $\|\psi\|$. Second, using an iteration process and the Schauder estimate, we can find a solution ψ for R large.

1. Perturb the Center p.

L has a kernel equal to span$\{x^1, x^2, x^3\}$ because translation preserves the mean curvature. Since L is self-adjoint, $C^{0,\alpha}(S_1(0))$ has the L^2-orthogonal decomposition $C^{0,\alpha}(S_1(0)) = \text{Range}L \oplus \text{span}\{x^1, x^2, x^3\}$. We would like to find p so that the right hand side of (6.6) is orthogonal to $\mathrm{span}\{x^1, x^2, x^3\}$. That is, we want to find p so that for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha \Big(\frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} + \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}} \Big)\, d\sigma_0 \\ &+ \int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha \Big(R^{2+a} G \circ F_{p,R}(x) + R^{2+a} \widetilde{E}_1 \circ F_{p,R}(x) \Big)\, d\sigma_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

We calculate each term above separately. A direct calculation gives the first integral

$$\int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha \Big(\frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} + \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}} \Big)\, d\sigma_0 = \frac{8m\pi p^\alpha}{R^{1-a}}.$$

From the area formula and Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha R^{2+a} G \circ F_{p,R}(x)\, d\sigma_0 = \int_{S_R(p)} \frac{y^\alpha - p^\alpha}{R} R^{2+a} G(y) R^{-2}\, d\sigma_0 \\ =\ & \frac{1}{R^{1-a}} \int_{S_R(p)} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha) G(y)\, d\sigma_0 = \frac{-8m\pi C^\alpha_{CS}}{R^{1-a}} + O(R^{-2+a}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the error term can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\Big| \int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha R^{2+a} \widetilde{E}_1 \circ F_{p,R}(x)\, d\sigma_0 \Big| &\le \frac{c}{R^{2-a}} \big(1 + |p|\big) \\ &+ \frac{c}{R} \big(|\psi| + |D\psi||\psi| + |D\psi|^2 + |\psi||D\psi||D^2\psi| \big).\end{aligned}$$

Since the ADM mass $m > 0$, we can choose p

$$p(R, \psi) = C_{CS} + e(R, \psi) \tag{6.8}$$

so that the identity (6.7) holds, where

$$|e(R,\psi)| \le \frac{c}{R}\big(1 + |p|\big) + \frac{c}{R^a}\big(|\psi| + |D\psi||\psi| + |D\psi|^2 + |\psi||D\psi||D^2\psi| \big).$$

2. Find the Solution ψ by Iteration.

We consider the isomorphism $L : (\mathrm{Ker} L)^\perp \to \mathrm{Range}(L)$ for $(\mathrm{Ker} L)^\perp \subset C^{2,\alpha}(S_1(0))$ and $\mathrm{Range}(L) \subset C^{0,\alpha}(S_1(0))$. If we denote the right hand side of (6.6) by $f(p, R, \psi)$, i.e.

$$f(p,R,\psi) = -\frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} - \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}} - R^{2+a} G \circ F_{p,R}(x) - R^{2+a} \widetilde{E}_1 \circ F_{p,R}(x),$$

we know $f(p(R,\psi), R, \psi) \in \mathrm{Range}(L)$ for any R, ψ with $\|\psi\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le 1$. Therefore, any ψ_0 with $\|\psi_0\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le 1$, there is $\psi_1 \in (\mathrm{Ker} L)^\perp$ such that

$$L\psi_1 = f\big(p(R,\psi_0), R, \psi_0\big).$$

Moreover, we use the Schauder estimate and the fact that $\psi_1 \in (\mathrm{Ker} L)^{\perp}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} &\leq c \left\| f\big(p(R,\psi_0), R, \psi_0\big) \right\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{R^{1-a}}(|C_{CS}|+1) + \frac{c}{R^a}(\|\psi_0\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} + \|\psi_0\|^2_{C^{2,\alpha}}) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{R^{1-a}}(|C_{CS}|+1) + \frac{2c}{R^a}. \end{aligned}$$

For any R large enough (independent of ψ_0), we have $\|\psi_1\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq 1$. We continue the iteration process and get a sequence of functions $\{\psi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$L\psi_{k+1} = f\big(p(R,\psi_k), R, \psi_k\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi_{k+1}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq 1.$$

The Arzela-Ascoli theorem says that there exists $\psi_\infty \in C^{2,\mu}(S_1(0))$ such that a subsequence of $\{\psi_k\}$ converges to ψ_∞ in $C^{2,\mu}$ for $0 < \mu < \alpha$. Moreover ψ_∞ is a solution to (6.6),

$$L\psi_\infty = f\big(p(R,\psi_\infty), R, \psi_\infty\big).$$

Let $\phi_\infty(y) = \psi_\infty \circ F^{-1}_{p,R}(y)$, then the surface $M_R = \{z : z = y + \frac{\phi_\infty}{R^a}\nu, y \in S_R(p)\}$ has constant mean curvature equal to $\frac{2}{R} - \frac{4m}{R^2}$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we need to compute

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{\int_{M_R} z^\alpha d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_R} d\sigma_0}. \tag{6.9}$$

By the uniqueness of the constant mean curvature foliation, the $\{M_R\}$ are equal to those constructed in [HY96], and therefore (6.9) converges to the Huisken-Yau center of mass C^α_{HY}. We now prove that (6.9) also converges to the Corvino-Schoen center of mass C^α_{CS}. Let F be the diffeomorphism defined by $F(y) = y + \frac{\phi_\infty}{R^a}\nu$, then

$$\frac{\int_{M_R} z^\alpha d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_R} d\sigma_0} = \frac{\int_{S_R(p)} \big(y^\alpha + \frac{\phi_\infty}{R^a}\nu^\alpha) JF d\sigma_0}{\int_{S_R(p)} JF d\sigma_0},$$

where JF is the Jacobian from the area formula, $JF = 1 + O(R^{-1-a})$. Now we can use the fact that the area of Euclidean sphere is $O(R^2)$ and the estimate for the center p in (6.8) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{M_R} z^\alpha d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_R} d\sigma_0} &= p^\alpha + \frac{\int_{S_R(p)} \big(y^\alpha - p^\alpha)(1 + O(R^{-1-a}))d\sigma_0 + \int_{S_R(p)} \frac{\phi_\infty}{R^a}\nu^\alpha JF d\sigma_0}{\int_{S_R(p)} JF d\sigma_0} \\ &= C^\alpha_{CS} + e(R,\phi_\infty) + O(R^{-a}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, after taking limits, the Huisken-Yau center of mass C^α_{HY} is equal to the Corvino-Schoen center of mass C^α_{CS} and, therefore, is equal to C^α_I. $\square$

REFERENCES

[B86] Bartnik, R., *Mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math, Volume 39 (1986), pp. 661-693.

[CS06] Corvino, J. and Schoen, R., *On the asymptotics for the vacuum Einstein constraint equations*, J. Differential Geom. Volume 73, Number 2 (2006), pp. 185-217.

[CW08] Corvino, J. and Wu, H., *On the Center of Mass of Isolated Systems*, Class. Quantum Grav. 25 (2008).

[HY96] Huisken, G. and Yau, S.-T., *Definition of center of mass for isolated physical systems and unique foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature*, Invent. Math, Volume 124 (1996), pp. 281-331.

[RT74] Regge, T. and Teitelboim, C., *Role of Surface Integrals in the Hamiltonian Formulation of General Relativity*, Ann. Phys. Volume 88 (1974), pp.286318.

[SY79] Schoen, R. and Yau, S.T., *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in General Relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 65 (1979), pp.4576 .

[SY81] Schoen, R, and Yau, S.T., *Proof of the positive mass theorem II* , Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 79 (1981), pp. 231260.

[Y96] Ye, R., *Foliation by constant mean curvature spheres on asymptotically at manifolds*, Geometric analysis and the calculus of variations, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996, pp. 369-383.

arXiv:0808.0563v2 [math.DG] 6 May 2010

ON THE CENTER OF MASS OF ISOLATED SYSTEMS WITH GENERAL ASYMPTOTICS

LAN-HSUAN HUANG

Abstract. We propose a definition of center of mass for asymptotically flat manifolds satisfying Regge-Teitelboim condition at infinity. This definition has a coordinate-free expression and natural properties. Furthermore, we prove that our definition is consistent both with the one proposed by Corvino and Schoen and another by Huisken and Yau. The main tool is a new density theorem for data satisfying the Regge-Teitelboim condition.

1. Introduction

A 3-manifold M with a Riemannian metric g and a two-tensor K is called a vacuum initial data set (M, g, K) if g and K satisfy the constraint equations

$$\begin{aligned} R_g - |K|_g^2 + H^2 &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div}_g(K) - dH &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature of M and $H = Tr_g(K) = g^{ij}K_{ij}$. We say (M, g, K) is asymptotically flat (AF) if it is a vacuum initial data set and there exists a coordinate $\{x\}$ outside a compact set, say B_{R_0}, in M such that, for some $\delta \in (1/2, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(x),\ h_{ij} = O(|x|^{-\delta}) &\qquad K_{ij}(x) = O(|x|^{-1-\delta}) \\ g_{ij,k}(x) = O(|x|^{-1-\delta}) &\qquad K_{ij,k}(x) = O(|x|^{-2-\delta}) \\ g_{ij,kl}(x) = O(|x|^{-2-\delta}) &\qquad K_{ij,kl}(x) = O(|x|^{-3-\delta}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and similarly for higher derivatives, up to the third derivatives on g and up to the second derivatives on K. For AF manifolds, the ADM mass m is defined by

$$m = \frac{1}{16\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \big(g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}\big)\nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g,$$

where $\{|x| = r\}$ is the Euclidean sphere, ν_g is the unit outward normal vector field with respect to the metric g, and $d\sigma_g$ is the volume form induced from (M, g). We remark that if one replaces ν_g by the unit normal vector field ν_0 with respect to the Euclidean metric and $d\sigma_g$ by the volume form $d\sigma_0$ with respect to the induced metric from the Euclidean space, the limit is in the same. Similarly, the remark holds for (1.4), (1.5) and (1.8).

For the case in which we are interested, we require asymptotic symmetry on (M, g, K). We say that (M, g, K) is asymptotically flat satisfying Regge-Teitelboim condition (AF-RT) if (M, g, K) is AF and g, K satisfy these asymptotically even/odd conditions

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}^{odd}(x) &= O(|x|^{-1-\delta}) & K_{ij}^{even}(x) &= O(|x|^{-2-\delta}) \\ \left(g_{ij}^{odd}\right)_{,k}(x) &= O(|x|^{-2-\delta}) & \left(K_{ij}^{even}\right)_{,k}(x) &= O(|x|^{-3-\delta}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and on higher derivatives, where $f^{odd}(x) = f(x) - f(-x)$ and $f^{even}(x) = f(x) + f(-x)$, [RT74]. Notice that f^{odd} and f^{even} are only defined outside B_{R_0} in which the coordinates $\{x\}$ are defined. It is proved by Corvino and Schoen in [CS06] that AF-RT manifolds form a dense subset of AF manifolds in some suitable weighted Sobolev spaces.

For (M, g, K) satisfying AF-RT, we propose an intrinsic definition of center of mass[1] suggested by Richard Schoen,

$$C_I^\alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij} \right) Y_{(\alpha)}^i \nu_g^j d\sigma_g, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \tag{1.4}$$

where R_{ij} is the Ricci curvature of M and $Y_{(\alpha)} = \left(|x|^2 \delta^{\alpha i} - 2x^\alpha x^i\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is a Euclidean conformal Killing vector field. We use the Einstein summation convention and sum over repeated indices; though sometimes we employ summation symbols for clarity. The definition is intrinsic because it is a flux integral at infinity of the three-dimensional Einstein tensor contracted with two vector fields $Y_{(\alpha)}$ and ν_g, and $Y_{(\alpha)}$ has a geometric meaning. Moreover, the surface of integration can be defined more geometrically (proposition 3.1). If (M, g, K) is AF-RT, the limit converges. Note that the above expression is not defined when the ADM mass m is zero. However, the center of mass can not be well-defined when m is zero because the positive mass theorem says that M is actually the Euclidean space, if the scalar curvature $R_g \geq 0$ [SY79, SY81].

This intrinsic definition is motivated by a similar expression of the ADM mass when $Y_{(\alpha)}$ in definition (1.4) is replaced by $\{-2x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\}$, the radial direction Euclidean conformal Killing vector field,

$$m = \frac{1}{16\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij} \right) (-2x^i) \nu_g^j d\sigma_g. \tag{1.5}$$

---

[1] The intrinsic definition can be generalized to n-dimensional manifolds if we replace the factor $\frac{1}{16\pi m}$ by a suitable constant depending on n and ω_n, where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence the following arguments work more generally for n-dimensional manifolds, but for simplicity, we assume $n = 3$.

The Euclidean conformal Killing vector fields $\{-2x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\}$ and $Y_{(\alpha)}$ generate dilation and translation near infinity. A detailed discussion about these two vector fields can be found in [CW08]. Another motivation comes from the spatial Schwarzschild metric

$$g^S(x) = \left(1+\frac{m}{2|x-p|}\right)^4\delta = 1+\frac{2m}{|x|}+\frac{2mx\cdot p}{|x|^3}+\frac{3m}{2|x|^3}+O(|x|^{-3}).$$

If we replace the metric in (1.4) by g^S, C_I is precisely the vector p which indicates the center of the manifold. It is worth mentioning that although in the Schwarzschild case p is not a point in the manifold, one can develop polar coordinates using concentric spheres centered at p.

Other notions of center of mass have been proposed. Huisken and Yau [HY96] defined the center of mass for (M,g,K) which are spherically asymptotically flat (SAF), i.e. (M,g,K) is AF and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}(x) &= \left(1+\frac{2m}{|x|}\right)\delta_{ij}+p_{ij} \qquad (1.6)\\ p_{ij}(x) &= O(|x|^{-2}), \partial^\alpha p_{ij}(x) = O(|x|^{-2-|\alpha|}), \quad 1\le|\alpha|\le 4. \end{aligned}$$

They proved the existence and uniqueness of the constant mean curvature foliation $\{M_r\}$ for SAF manifolds, where the mean curvature of M_r is $(2/r)-(4m/r^2)+O(r^{-3})$. They showed that the geometric center defined as follows converges:

$$C^\alpha_{HY} = \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\int_{M_r} z^\alpha\, d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_r} d\sigma_0}, \quad \alpha = 1,2,3, \qquad (1.7)$$

where z is the position vector of M_r in M. This definition is also motivated by the spatial Schwarzschild manifold in which the constant mean curvature foliation is $\{|x-p| = r\}$. Another definition by Corvino and Schoen in [CS06] is defined for AF-RT manifolds by

$$\begin{aligned} C^\alpha_{CS} = \frac{1}{16\pi m}\lim_{r\to\infty}\Bigg(&\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha(g_{ij,i}-g_{ii,j})\nu^j_g d\sigma_g\\ &-\int_{|x|=r}(h_{i\alpha}\nu^i_g - h_{ii}\nu^\alpha_g)\, d\sigma_g\Bigg), \qquad (1.8) \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $h_{ij} = g_{ij}-\delta_{ij}$, see also [BÓ87, BLP03]. One application of this definition in [CS06] is the gluing theorem which allows Corvino and Schoen to approximate AF manifolds by solutions which agree with the original data inside a given region and are identical to a suitable Kerr solution outside a compact set. Their definition is a local-coordinate expression which is natural from the Hamiltonian formulation and convenient for calculation purposes, but may obscure interesting geometry.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that the intrinsic definition (1.4) is equivalent to the Corvino–Schoen definition (1.8). Moreover, for SAF manifolds in which the unique foliation of constant mean curvature surfaces exists, our intrinsic definition is equal to the Huisken–Yau definition (1.7). In other words, the intrinsic definition is a coordinate-free expression of the Corvino–Schoen definition and it generalizes the Huisken–Yau definition.

Theorem 1. *Assume* (M, g, K) *is AF-RT (1.3). Then*

$$C_I = C_{CS}.$$

Theorem 2. *Assume* (M, g, K) *is SAF (1.6). Then*

$$C_I = C_{HY}.$$

We would like to make a note that Corvino and Wu [CW08] recently have a result about the equivalence of these definitions under the assumption that the metric g is conformally flat at infinity with vanishing scalar curvature. In that special case, they are able to derive some explicit estimates. However, it seems that their approach cannot be generalized to AF-RT metrics.

This article is organized as follows. In section 3, we prove a density theorem (theorem 2.2) for AF-RT manifolds. The theorem is crucial for most of the arguments in the paper and may be of independent interest. In section 4, we discuss natural properties of the intrinsic definition. Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are proved in sections 5 and 6, respectively.

2. The Density Theorem

Let (M, g, K) be a vacuum initial data set. We introduce the momentum tensor

$$\pi^{ij} = K^{ij} - Tr_g(K) g^{ij}.$$

The constraint equations (1.1) then take the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_g + \frac{1}{2}(Tr_g \pi)^2 - |\pi|_g^2 &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div}_g(\pi) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and we define

$$\Phi(g, \pi) = \left(R_g + \frac{1}{2}(Tr_g \pi)^2 - |\pi|_g^2 \,, \operatorname{div}_g(\pi) \right).$$

In the case that (M, g, π) is AF-RT, Corvino and Schoen [CS06] prove that AF manifolds can be approximated by AF-RT manifolds in some weighted Sobolev space. Moreover, instead of requiring smooth solutions to the constraint equations (2.1), their theorem works for solutions with weak regularity. Before we state the theorem, we need the following definitions.

Definition 2.1 (Linear and Angular Momentum)**.** *The linear momentum* (P_1, P_2, P_3) *and the angular momentum* (J_1, J_2, J_3) *are defined as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \pi_{ij}\nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g, \\ J_\alpha &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|x|=r} \pi_{jk} Z_{(\alpha)}^j \nu_g^k \, d\sigma_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_{(\alpha)}$ *give the rotation fields in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*, for example* $Z_{(1)} = x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - x^3\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}$.

Remark. *The linear momentum is well-defined for AF manifolds and the angular momentum is well-defined for AF-RT manifolds.*

Definition 2.2 (Weighted Sobolev Spaces)**.** *For a non-negative integer* k*, a non-negative real number* p*, and a real number* δ*, we say* $f \in W^{k,p}_{-\delta}(M)$ *if*

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \equiv \left(\int_M \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \left(\left| D^\alpha f \right| \rho^{|\alpha|+\delta} \right)^p \rho^{-3} \, d\sigma_g \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where α *is a multi-index and* ρ *is a continuous function with* $\rho = |x|$ *on* $M \setminus B_{R_0}$.
When $p = \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,\infty}_{-\delta}(M)} = \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} ess \sup_M |D^\alpha f| \rho^{|\alpha|+\delta}.$$

Definition 2.3 (Harmonic Asymptotics)**.** (M, g, π) *is said to have harmonic asymptotics if* (M, g, π) *is AF and*

$$g = u^4\delta, \quad \pi = u^2(\mathcal{L}_\delta X) \tag{2.2}$$

outside a compact set for some u, X *tending to* $1, 0$ *respectively, where for any metric* g*,* $\mathcal{L}_g X$ *is the operator associated to the Lie derivative* $L_X g$ *defined by*

$$\mathcal{L}_g X \equiv L_X g - div_g(X) g.$$

By the constraint equations (2.1), u and X in definition 2.3 satisfy the following equations outside the compact set,

$$\begin{aligned} &8\Delta_\delta u = \Big(-|\mathcal{L}_\delta X|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\big(Tr_\delta(\mathcal{L}_\delta X)\big)^2 \Big) u, \\ &\Delta_\delta X^i + 4u^{-1} u_j (\mathcal{L}_\delta X)^i_j - 2u^{-1} u^i Tr_\delta(\mathcal{L}_\delta X) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_i = u^i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$. Asymptotic flatness requires u, X tend to $1, 0$, respectively, at some decay rate. Using the decay conditions on u and X, we have $\Delta_\delta u = O(|x|^{-2-2\delta})$ and $\Delta_\delta X_i = O(|x|^{-2-2\delta})$. As shown in [B86], the asymptotic behavior implies that outside a compact set,

$$u = 1 + \frac{a}{|x|} + O(|x|^{-1-\delta}), \quad X^i = \frac{b^i}{|x|} + O(|x|^{-1-\delta}), \tag{2.3}$$

for some constants a and b^i. Note that this clearly implies that (M, g, π) is AF-RT. Furthermore, if (M, g, π) is AF-RT, u^{odd} and $(X^i)^{odd}$ satisfy equations with better decay: $\Delta_\delta u^{odd} = O(|x|^{-4-2\delta})$ and $\Delta_\delta (X^i)^{odd} = O(|x|^{-4-2\delta})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u^{odd}(x) &= \frac{c \cdot x}{|x|^3} + O\big(|x|^{-2-\delta}\big), & (X^i)^{odd}(x) &= \frac{d_{(i)} \cdot x}{|x|^3} + O\big(|x|^{-2-\delta}\big),\\ \left(u^{odd}(x) - \frac{c \cdot x}{|x|^3}\right)_{,k} &= O(|x|^{-3-\delta}), & \left((X^i)^{odd}(x) - \frac{d_{(i)} \cdot x}{|x|^3}\right)_{,k} &= O(|x|^{-3-\delta}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for some vectors $c, d_{(i)}$ which are quantities corresponding to the center of mass and angular momentum of $(\bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$. Since we assume that g and K satisfy the pointwise regularity, the above identities hold pointwisely. However, we can generalize the above discussions to the setting of the weighted Sobolev spaces and have more general results as follows.

Theorem 2.1. [CS06, Theorem 1] *Let* $(g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}, \pi_{ij}) \in W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$ *be a vacuum initial data set, where* $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *and* $p > \frac{3}{2}$. *Given any* $\epsilon > 0$, *there exist* $k_0 > 0$ *and a sequence of solutions* $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *with harmonic asymptotics satisfying (2.2), (2.3) so that*

$$\|g - \bar{g}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \le \epsilon, \quad \|\pi - \bar{\pi}_k\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)} \le \epsilon, \quad \text{for } k \ge k_0.$$

Moreover, the mass and the linear momentum of $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *are within* ϵ *of those of* (g, π).

The theorem says that the solutions with harmonic asymptotics (2.2) are dense among general solutions. More remarkably, the mass and the linear momentum which can be explicitly expressed for solutions with harmonic asymptotics converge to the original initial data set in these weighted Sobolev spaces. However, in the above theorem the center of mass does not seem to converge, neither is the center of mass C_I defined generally for AF manifolds. Therefore, we would like to modify their theorem and prove, in some weighted Sobolev space, solutions with harmonic asymptotics form a dense subset inside AF-RT solutions so that the centers of mass and the angular momentum converge. The precise statement is as follows:

Theorem 2.2 (Density Theorem). *Let* $(g - \delta, \pi) \in W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$ *be a vacuum initial data set and* $(g^{odd}, \pi^{even}) \in W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0}) \times W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M \setminus B_{R_0})$, *where* $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *and* $p > 3$. *Given any* $\epsilon > 0$ *and* $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta)$, *there exist* R, $k_0 = k_0(R)$, *and a sequence of solutions* $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *with harmonic asymptotics satisfying (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) so that* $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *is within an* ϵ-*neighborhood of* (g, π) *in the* $W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$ *norm and*

$$\|\bar{g}^{odd}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M \setminus B_R)} \le \epsilon, \quad \|\bar{\pi}^{even}_k\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta_0}(M \setminus B_R)} \le \epsilon, \quad \text{for } k \ge k_0.$$

Moreover, the mass, the linear momentum, the center of mass, and the angular momentum of $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$ *are within* ϵ *of those of* (g, π)*.*

We first briefly describe Corvino and Schoen's construction of the approximating solutions $(\bar{g}_k, \bar{\pi}_k)$.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $(\hat{g}_k, \hat{\pi}_k)$ be 2-tensors cut off from the original solutions (g, π), $\hat{g}_k = \xi_k g + (1 - \xi_k)\delta = \delta + \xi_k h$, $\hat{\pi}_k = \xi_k \pi$ where ξ_k is a smooth cut-off function

$$\xi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } |x| \leq k \\ \text{between 0 and 1} & \text{when } k \leq |x| \leq 2k \\ 0 & \text{when } |x| \geq 2k. \end{cases}$$

ξ_k is chosen so that $|D\xi_k| \leq c/k$ and $|D^2\xi_k| \leq c/k^2$ for some constant c independent of k. Then we let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_k &= u_k^4 \hat{g}_k, \\ \bar{\pi}_k &= u_k^2 (\hat{\pi}_k + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{g}_k} X_k). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation, we denote $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{g}_k}$ by $\mathcal{L}$, and we also drop the subindex k when it is clear from context. In order to find u near 1 and X near 0 at infinity so that $(\bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$ is a vacuum initial data set, we need to solve the following systems for u and X from the constraint equations (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu} &\equiv u^{-5}\bigg(- 8\Delta_{\hat{g}} u + \Big(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\big(Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)^2\Big) u\bigg) = 0 \\ \big(\mathrm{div}_{\bar{g}}(\bar{\pi})\big)_i &= u^{-2}\bigg(\big(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)_i + 4u^{-1}\hat{g}^{jk}u_j(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)_{ik} \\ &\qquad -2u^{-1}u_i Tr_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\bigg) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \qquad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the map $T : (1, 0) + W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M) \to W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M)$ defined by $T(u, X) = \big(\bar{\mu}, \mathrm{div}_{\bar{g}}(\bar{\pi})\big)$. It is known that $DT_{(1,0)}$ is a Fredholm operator of index 0. For the Fredholm operator of index 0, the operator is injective if and only it is surjective. However, it is not clear whether $DT_{(1,0)}$ is surjective. Corvino and Schoen enlarge the domain and utilize initial data sets of the form $\big(u^4\hat{g} + h, u^2(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) + q\big)$ with

$$\Phi\left(u^4\hat{g} + h, u^2(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) + q\right) = (0, 0),$$

where h and q are symmetric $(0, 2)$-tensors with compact supports. Then they prove that the operator $D\Phi_{(\hat{g}, \hat{\pi})}$ maps surjectively onto $W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M)$ for $p > 1$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

Since $DT_{(1,0)}$ is Fredholm of index 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M) = \mathrm{Ker}\left(DT_{(1,0)}\right) \oplus W_1,\\
&W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) = \mathrm{Range}\left(DT_{(1,0)}\right) \oplus \mathrm{span}\{V_1, \dots, V_N\}
\end{aligned}$$

where W_1 is an N-dimensional linear subspace and $\{V_1, \cdots, V_N\}$ is a basis for the cokernel of $DT_{(1,0)}$. Because $D\Phi_{(\hat g,\hat\pi)}$ is surjective, we can choose $\big\{(h_1,q_1),\dots,(h_N,q_N)\big\}$ so that $D\Phi_{(\hat g,\hat\pi)}(h_i,q_i) = V_i$. Supports of those (h_i,q_i) may not be compact, but there exist $(\widetilde h_i,\widetilde q_i)$ with compact supports close enough to (h_i,q_i) so that $\widetilde V_i = D\Phi_{(\hat g,\hat\pi)}(\widetilde h_i,\widetilde q_i)$ still span a complementing subspace for Range $\left(DT_{(1,0)}\right)$.

Let $W_2 =$ span$\big\{(\widetilde h_1,\widetilde q_1),\dots,(\widetilde h_N,\widetilde q_N)\big\}$. $W = W_1 \times W_2$ is a Banach space inside $W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M) \times W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)$. Define the map $\overline{T}$ from $\big((1,0),(0,0)\big) + W_1 \times W_2$ to $W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M) \times W^{0,p}_{-2-\delta}(M)$ by

$$\overline{T}\big((u,X),(h,q)\big) = \Phi(u^4\hat g + h, u^2(\hat\pi + \mathcal{L}X) + q).$$

$D\overline{T}_{((1,0),(0,0))}$ is an isomorphism by construction. Hence the inverse function theorem asserts that $\overline{T}$ is an isomorphism from a fixed (independent of k) neighborhood of $((1,0),(0,0))$ to a fixed neighborhood of $\Phi(\hat g,\hat\pi)$. Because $(0,0)$ is contained in the image when k large, there exists a unique $((u,X),(h,q))$ within that fixed neighborhood of $\big((1,0),(0,0)\big)$ such that $\Phi\left(u^4\hat g + h, u^2(\hat\pi + \mathcal{L}X) + q\right) = (0,0)$ for k large. □

Note that the supports of h, q in the proof may not be uniformly bounded in k, but it is important in the proof of Theorem 2.2 that h, q have compact supports uniformly bounded in k. Therefore, we need to carefully choose the cokernel of $DT_{(1,0)}$ for k large. This choice is described by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *V and W are Banach spaces. Assume that $S_k : V \to W$ is a sequence of Fredholm operators and S_k converges (in the operator norm) to some Fredholm operator S'. If $W =$ Range$S' \oplus W'$ for some finitely dimensional closed subspace W', we can choose the cokernel of S_k inside the cokernel W' of S' for some $W_k \subset W'$, for k large.*

Proof. Since S' is Fredholm, there exists V' such that $V =$ Ker$S' \oplus V'$. Consider for any bounded linear operator $S : V \to W$, the map

$$\tau_S : V' \oplus W' \to W$$

given by $\tau_S(v,w) = Sv + w$. Then τ_{S_k} is an isomorphism for k large since $\tau_{S'}$ is an isomorphism by construction and isomorphism is an open condition in the space of linear operators. We then have

$$W = S_k(V') \oplus W'.$$

Therefore, for any $v \in V$, $S_k(v)$ can be decomposed uniquely into $S_k(v') + S_k(v) - S_k(v')$ for some $v' \in V'$ and $S_k(v) - S_k(v') \in W'$. Hence v can be decomposed uniquely into $v = v' + (v - v')$ as well, where $S_k(v - v') \in W'$. Let $U_k \equiv \{u \in V : S_k(u) \in W'\}$. It is easy to see that U_k is a closed space in V and

$$V = V' \oplus U_k.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Ker} S_k$ is a finite dimensional subspace in U_k, so we can write

$$V = V' \oplus \mathrm{Ker} S_k \oplus Z_k$$

for some closed subspace $Z_k \subset U_k$. $S_k(Z_k)$ is closed in W', so there is $W_k \subset W'$ such that $S_k(Z_k) \oplus W_k = W'$ and hence

$$W = S_k(V') \oplus S_k(Z_k) \oplus W_k = \mathrm{Range} S_k \oplus W_k.$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.5. *The supports of h and q in the proof of Theorem 2.1 can be chosen to be uniformly bounded for k large.*

Next, the key lemma (Lemma 2.8) used to prove Theorem 2.2 is an *a priori* type estimate for 2nd order elliptic equations $Pv = f$ which have a symmetric property at infinity. Roughly speaking, if we know P and f are even (or odd, respectively) then we hope solutions v will be even (or odd, respectively) as well. However, this is not true generally because boundary values can affect solutions dramatically. For example, consider two harmonic functions u_1, u_2 in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_0}$,

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{|x|} \text{ and } u_2 = \frac{c \cdot x}{|x|^3}.$$

Both $|u_1|$ and $|u_2|$ tend to zero at infinity. However, u_1 is even and u_2 is odd. They are solutions for different boundary values on the inner boundary. Nevertheless, in the case that the boundary value is very small, we will show the symmetry of the solutions is not affected much in the region away from the boundary. Before we state the lemma, we will give some definitions of the operators we consider. This class of operators is discussed in detail in [B86].

Definition 2.6. *Let P defined as $Pu = a^{ij}(x)\partial^2_{ij}u + b^i(x)\partial_i u + c(x)u$ be an elliptic operator.*

(1) *P is said to be asymptotic (at rate τ) to an elliptic operator $\widetilde{P}$, $\widetilde{P}u = \widetilde{a}^{ij}(x)\partial^2_{ij}u + \widetilde{b}^i(x)\partial_i u + \widetilde{c}(x)u$, if there exist $q \in (3, \infty)$, $\tau \geq 0$, and a constant C such that over the region $M \setminus B_{R_0}$,*

$$\|a^{ij} - \widetilde{a}^{ij}\|_{W^{1,q}_{-\tau}} + \|b^i - \widetilde{b}^i\|_{W^{0,q}_{-1-\tau}} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|_{W^{0,q/2}_{-2-\tau}} \leq C.$$

(2) *P^{odd} is the odd part of the operator, defined on $M \setminus B_{R_0}$ by*

$$P^{odd} = (a^{ij})^{odd} \partial^2_{ij} + (b^i)^{even} \partial_i + c^{odd}.$$

Remark. We assume that $P : W^{s,p}_{-a} \to W^{s-2,p}_{-2-a}$ for $s = 2$ or 3, $1 < p < q$ and a non-integer $a > 0$, and define

$$\|P\|_{op;M\setminus B_R} = \sup\{\|Pw\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-2-a}(M)} : \|w\|_{W^{s,p}_a(M)} = 1, \text{supp} w \subset M \setminus B_R\}.$$

From Definition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Pw - \widetilde{P}w\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-2-a}(M)} \leq &\|a^{ij} - \widetilde{a}^{ij}\|_{W^{1,q}_{-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} \\ &+ \|b^i - \widetilde{b}^i\|_{W^{0,q}_{-1-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|_{W^{0,q/2}_{-2-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $R \to \infty$. Therefore, $\|P - \widetilde{P}\|_{op;M\setminus B_R} \to 0$ as $R \to 0$.

Definition 2.7. *We say that a sequence of elliptic operators P_k, $P_k u = a^{ij}_{(k)}(x)\partial^2_{ij} u + b^i_{(k)}(x)\partial_i u + c_{(k)}(x)u$, is asymptotic to $\widetilde{P}$ uniformly if given ϵ, there exist R and k_0 such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|a^{ij}_{(k)} - \widetilde{a}^{ij}\|_{W^{1,q}_{-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} &+ \|b^i_{(k)} - \widetilde{b}^i\|_{W^{0,q}_{-1-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} \\ &+ \|c_{(k)} - \widetilde{c}\|_{W^{0,q/2}_{-2-\tau}(M\setminus B_R)} \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } k > k_0.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let Δ_δ be the Euclidean Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\{P_k\}$ be a sequence of 2nd order elliptic operators asymptotic to Δ_δ uniformly, and for $s = 2$ or 3, $1 < p < q$, and a non-integer number $a > 0$,*

$$P_k : W^{s,p}_{-a}(M) \to W^{s-2,p}_{-2-a}(M).$$

Assume $\{v_k\} \subset W^{s,p}_{-a}(M)$, $\{f_k\} \subset W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)$ are sequences of functions. We also assume $(v_k)^{odd} \in W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M \setminus B_{R_0})$, $\|(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-a}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and $(v_k)^{odd}$ satisfy $P_k(v_k)^{odd} = f_k$. Then there exist R large and k_0 large such that

$$\|(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M\setminus B_{2R})} \leq c\|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M\setminus B_R)} + c \text{ for all } k > k_0,$$

where $c = c\big(s, p, a, \|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op;M\setminus B_{R_0}}\big)$, $R = R\big(s, p, a, \|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op;M\setminus B_{R_0}}\big)$, $k_0 = k_0(R)$.

Proof. Because $(v_k)^{odd}$ is not a global function, we multiply it by a smooth function ϕ,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } |x| \leq R \\ \text{between 0 and 1} & \text{when } R \leq |x| \leq 2R \\ 1 & \text{when } |x| \geq 2R, \end{cases}$$

where ϕ satisfies $|D\phi| \leq c/R, |D^2\phi| \leq c/R^2$ for some constant c independent of R, where the real number R will be chosen later. We have $\phi(v_k)^{odd} \in$

$W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} P_k\big(\phi(v_k)^{odd}\big) =&\phi f_k + a^{ij}_{(k)}\Big(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^i\partial x^j}\Big)(v_k)^{odd}\\ &+ 2a^{ij}_{(k)}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial(v_k)^{odd}}{\partial x^j} + b^i_{(k)}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\Big)(v_k)^{odd}. \end{aligned}$$

By [B86, Theorem 1.7], there exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(s,p,a)$ such that

$$\|\phi(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} \le c_1\|\Delta_\delta(\phi(v_k)^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)}.$$

We then consider the difference between Δ_δ and P_k by viewing them as the operators from $W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)$ to $W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)$. By the remark after Definition 2.6, the operator norm $\|P_k - \Delta_\delta\|_{op;M\setminus B_R}$ tends to zero as $R\to\infty$ uniformly in k because P_k is asymptotic to Δ_δ uniformly. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\phi(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)}\\ &\le c_1\|(P_k-\Delta_\delta)(\phi(v_k)^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)} + c_1\|P_k(\phi(v_k)^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)}\\ &\le c_1\|P_k-\Delta_\delta\|_{op;M\setminus B_R}\|\phi(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} + c_1\|P_k(\phi(v_k)^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose R such that $c_1\|P_k-\Delta_\delta\|_{op;M\setminus B_R}\le\frac{1}{2}$ and absorb that term to the left hand side. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M)} \le&2c_1\|P_k(\phi(v_k)^{odd})\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M)}\\ \le&c_2\|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M\setminus B_R)} + c_2\|(D^2\phi)(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(A_R)}\\ &+ c_2\|D\phi\cdot D(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(A_R)} + c_2\|(D\phi)(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-2-a}(A_R)}\\ \le&c_2\|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M\setminus B_R)} + c_3\|(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-1-a}(A_R)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_R=\{x : R\le|x|\le 2R\}$. Because $\|(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-a}(M\setminus B_{R_0})}\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$, for $\epsilon = 1/(2R)$, there exists k_0 such that for all $k>k_0$

$$\|(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-1-a}(A_R)} \le 2R\|(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s-1,p}_{-a}(A_R)} \le 2R\epsilon = 1.$$

As a result, we have the Schauder-type estimate

$$\|(v_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{s,p}_{-1-a}(M\setminus 2R)} \le c_2\|f_k\|_{W^{s-2,p}_{-3-a}(M\setminus B_R)} + c_3 \text{ for all } k>k_0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.2.

1. Estimates on u^{odd} and $(X^i)^{odd}$. We construct $(\bar g_k,\bar\pi_k)$ as in Theorem 2.1 in the form

$$\bar g_k = u_k^4\hat g_k + h_k,\quad \bar\pi_k = u_k^2(\hat\pi_k + \mathcal{L}_{\hat g_k}X_k) + q_k.$$

Recall that k is the radius of which we cut off the original data. Again, we drop the subindex k when it is clear from context. By Theorem 2.1, u and

X exist and satisfy the system of the constraint equations (2.5). From the constraint equation (2.5) for u in $M \setminus B_{R_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta_{\hat{g}} u - \frac{1}{8}\Big(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{\hat{g}}\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X\big)^2 \Big) u \\ &= \hat{g}^{ij}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + \sqrt{\hat{g}}^{-1}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\hat{g}^{ij}\sqrt{\hat{g}}\Big)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{8}\Big(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)^2 \Big) u \\ &\equiv P_1 u. \end{aligned}$$

On $M \setminus B_{R_0}$, u^{odd} satisfies the following equation,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 u^{odd} &= (P_1 u)(x) - (P_1 u)(-x) - P_1^{odd}\big(u(-x)\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\left(R(\hat{g}) - |\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\big)\right)^{odd} u(-x) \\ &\quad - \big(\hat{g}^{ij}(x) - \hat{g}^{ij}(-x)\big)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} u(-x) \\ &\quad - \left(\sqrt{\hat{g}}^{-1}(x)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\hat{g}^{ij}(x)\sqrt{\hat{g}}(x)\Big) \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. - \sqrt{\hat{g}}^{-1}(-x)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\hat{g}^{ij}(-x)\sqrt{\hat{g}}(-x)\Big)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}u(-x) \\ &= f_1 + f_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{8}\left(-|\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X|^2_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X)\right)^{odd} u(-x), \quad f_2 = P_1 u^{odd} - f_1.$$

f_1 contains the terms involving X. We will use a bootstrap argument to improve its decay rate. f_2 contains the terms which have expected good decay rate already, such as g^{odd}, π^{even}. A direct calculation tells us

$$\|f_1\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-2\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \leq c, \quad \|f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_{-3-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \leq c.$$

We emphasize that through out this proof, c is a constant independent of k.

From the constraint equations (2.5) for X, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\mathcal{L}X)\big)_i + \mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi})_i + 4u^{-1}\hat{g}^{kj}u_j\big(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X\big)_{ik} \\ - 2u^{-1}u_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we compute the first term in local coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\mathcal{L}X)\big)_i &= \big(\Delta_{\hat{g}}X\big)_i + \hat{Ric}_{ik}X^k \\ &= \hat{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}X_i - \hat{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(X^p\Gamma^q_{li}\hat{g}_{pq}) - \hat{g}^{kl}X^p\Gamma^q_{lp}\Gamma^r_{ki}\hat{g}_{qr} + \hat{Ric}_{ik}X^k. \end{aligned}$$

We define $P_2 X_i \equiv \hat{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} X_i$ and then $P_2 X_i = F_i$, where F_i contains the remainder terms from above identities,

$$\begin{aligned} F_i \;&=\; \hat{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(X^p \Gamma^q_{li}\hat{g}_{pq}) + \hat{g}^{kl} X^p \Gamma^q_{lp}\Gamma^r_{ki}\hat{g}_{qr} - \hat{Ric}_{ik}X^k \\ &\quad -\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi})_i - 4u^{-1}\hat{g}^{kj}u_j\big(\hat{\pi} - \mathcal{L}X\big)_{ik} + 2u^{-1}u_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi} + \mathcal{L}X). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2\,(X_i)^{odd} &= (F_i)^{odd} - \left(\hat{g}^{kl}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \hat{g}^{kl}(-x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}\right) X_i(-x) \\ &= a_i + b_i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_i = -\left(\mathrm{div}_{\hat{g}}(\hat{\pi})_i\right)^{odd}, \quad b_i = P_2\,(X_i)^{odd} - a_i,$$

where b_i contains u^{odd} and X^{odd} and we will bootstrap to improve its decay rate. A straightforward calculation gives us

$$\|a_i\|_{W^{0,p}_{-3-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le c, \quad \|b_i\|_{W^{0,p}_{-2-2\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le c.$$

From the above, we derive the system

$$\begin{aligned} &P_1 u^{odd} = f_1 + f_2, \\ &P_2 (X_i)^{odd} = a_i + b_i, \quad i = 1,2,3. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 2.8 (with $a = 2\delta - 1 \le \delta$) for each equation because P_1 and P_2 are obviously asymptotic to Δ_δ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(u_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-a}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le \|(u_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le 2\|u_k - 1\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \to 0, \\ &\|(X_i)^{odd}_k\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le \|(X_i)^{odd}_k\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_0})} \le 2\|(X_i)_k\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M)} \to 0 \quad \text{ as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Because $-1 - a = 2\delta$, by Lemma 2.8, there exist R_1 and k_1 such that for all $k > k_1$,

$$\|(u_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-2\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_1})} \le c, \quad \|(X_i)^{odd}_k\|_{W^{2,p}_{-2\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_1})} \le c.$$

Once we derive these estimates, the decay rates for f_1 and b_i are improved. The bootstrap argument allows us to conclude that for some $R_2 \ge R_1$, $k_2 \ge k_1$,

$$\|(u_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_2})} \le c, \quad \|(X_i)^{odd}_k\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_2})} \le c \text{ for all } k > k_2.$$

Therefore, for any given ϵ and $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta)$, there exist R and k_0 so that for all $k > k_0$,

$$\|(u_k)^{odd}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M\setminus B_R)} \le CR^{\delta_0 - \delta} \le \epsilon, \quad \|(X_i)^{odd}_k\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M\setminus B_R)} \le \epsilon.$$

Furthermore, by Corollary 2.5, the supports of (h_k, q_k) are uniformly bounded in k. Hence we have

$$\|\bar{g}^{odd}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta_0}(M\setminus B_R)} \le \epsilon.$$

Similarly, we have the estimate for $\bar{\tau}$.

2. Convergence of the Center of Mass and the Angular Momentum. To prove the center of mass and the angular momentum of $(\bar{g}, \bar{\pi})$ converge to those of (g, π), the same idea of proving convergence of the mass and the linear momentum in [CS06] is employed.

$$\begin{aligned}
|C_I^\alpha(g) - C_I^\alpha(\bar{g})| \le{} & \left| C_I^\alpha(g) - \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g \right| \\
& + \left| \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} \big(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} \bar{g}_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu_{\bar{g}}^j \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \right| \\
& + \left| C_I^\alpha(\bar{g}) - \int_{|x|=r} \big(\bar{R}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} \bar{g}_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu_{\bar{g}}^j \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \right| .
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the third terms can be written as integrals over $\{|x| \ge r\}$ by the divergence theorem. We will also use the fact that $\{|x| \ge r\}$ is centrically symmetric, i.e. $x, -x \in \{|x| \ge r\}$, to estimate those integrals over $\{|x| \ge r\}$. For the first integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_I^\alpha(g) - \int_{|x|=r} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g \\
={}& \int_{|x| \ge r} \Big[x^\alpha R_g - 2x^\alpha (g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \\
& + \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y^i_{(\alpha)} \Gamma^k_{kl} g^{lj} \Big] \, d\mathrm{vol}_g. \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $H = \{x \in M \setminus B_r : x^1 \ge 0\}$ be the half space. Then we can rewrite the above integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_H x^\alpha \big(R_g^{odd} \sqrt{g} + R_g(-x) \sqrt{g}^{odd}\big) \, dx \\
& - \int_H 2x^\alpha (g^{ij})^{odd} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \sqrt{g} \, dx \\
& - \int_H 2x^\alpha (g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) \Big[\Big(R_{ij}^{odd} - \frac{1}{2} R_g^{odd} g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g(-x) g_{ij}^{odd} \Big) \sqrt{g} \\
& + \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) \sqrt{g}^{odd} \Big] \, dx \\
& + \int_H Y^i_{(\alpha)} \Big(R_{ij}^{odd} - \frac{1}{2} R_g^{odd} g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}^{odd} \Big) \Gamma^i_{kj} \sqrt{g} \, dx \\
& + \int_H Y^i_{(\alpha)} \big(R_{ij}(-x) - \frac{1}{2} R_g(-x) g_{ij}(-x)\big) \Big[(\Gamma^i_{kj})^{even} \sqrt{g} + \Gamma^i_{kj}(-x) \sqrt{g}^{odd} \Big) \Big] \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We substitute R_g in the first integral by using the constraint equation $R_g = -\frac{1}{2} \big(\mathrm{Tr}_g \pi\big)^2 + |\pi|_g^2$. We then bound above integrals symbolically and use the

Hölder inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_1\int_H |x|\Big(|\pi^{even}||\pi| + |\pi|^2|g^{odd}| + |g^{odd}||D^2 g| + |g-\delta||D^2(g^{odd})|\Big)\,dx\\
&+ c_1\int_H |x|^2\Big(|D^2(g^{odd})||Dg| + |D^2 g||D(g^{odd})| + |D^2 g||Dg||g^{odd}|\Big)\,dx\\
&\le c_2\Big(\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}}\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}}\|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}}\\
&\qquad\qquad + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}}\|g\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}}\Big)r^{1-2\delta}, \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants independent of g, π, and r. The weighted Sobolev norms above are over the region $\{|x|\ge r\}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|C_I^\alpha(\bar g) - \int_{|x|=r}\big(\bar R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\bar R\bar g_{ij}\big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_{\bar g}\,d\sigma_{\bar g}\right|\\
\le\ & c_2\Big(\|\bar\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}}\|\bar\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}} + \|\bar\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}}\|\bar g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}}\\
&\quad + \|\bar g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}}\|\bar g\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}}\Big)r^{1-2\delta}. \qquad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

For the surface integral, we can assume $k \gg r$ (recall k is the radius of which we cut off the original data) and then $\bar g = u^4 g$ on $\{|x| < 2r\}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x|=r}\big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_g\,d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r}\big(\bar R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\bar R\bar g_{ij}\big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_{\bar g}\,d\sigma_{\bar g}\\
&= \int_{|x|=r}\Big((R_{ij} - u^2\bar R_{ij}) - \frac{1}{2}(R_g - u^6\bar R)g_{ij}\Big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_g\,d\sigma_g\\
&= \int_{|x|=r}\Big((1-u^2)R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(1-u^6)R_g g_{ij}\Big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_g\,d\sigma_g\\
&\quad + \int_{|x|=r}\Big(u^2(R_{ij} - \bar R_{ij}) - \frac{1}{2}u^6(R_g - \bar R)g_{ij}\Big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_g\,d\sigma_g\\
&\le c_6\max_{|x|=r}\Big(|1-u||x|^{-\delta}\Big)\max_{|x|=r}\Big(|D^2 g||x|^{2+\delta}\Big)r^{2-2\delta}\\
&\quad + c_6\max_{|x|=r}\big|D^2(g-\bar g)\big||x|^{2+\delta}r^{2-\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the Sobolev inequality for weighted Sobolev spaces (see [B86]). For $n - sp < 0$ where $n = \dim M = 3$, we have $\|w\|_{W^{0,\infty}_{-\delta}(M)} \le c\|w\|_{W^{s,p}_{-\delta}(M)}$. Therefore, for $p > 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{|x|=r}|D^2(g-\bar g)||x|^{2+\delta} &\le \max_{M\setminus B_r}|D^2(g-\bar g)||x|^{2+\delta}\\
&= \|D^2(g-\bar g)\|_{W^{0,\infty}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \le c\|D^2(g-\bar g)\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\\
&\le c\|g-\bar g\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the Sobolev inequality on the other term, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|x|=r}\big(R_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}R_g g_{ij}\big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_g\,d\sigma_g-\int_{|x|=r}\big(\bar{R}_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}\bar{R}\bar{g}_{ij}\big)Y^i_{(\alpha)}\nu^j_{\bar{g}}\,d\sigma_{\bar{g}}\\&\le c_7\Big(\|1-u\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}+\|g-\bar{g}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big)r^{2-\delta}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

To conclude the argument, for given ϵ, let R_1 be a constant so that the right hand side of (2.7) is bounded by $\epsilon/16$. For this ϵ, there exist R_2 and $k\ge k_0$ so that $\|1-u_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)}$, $\|g-\bar{g}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M)}$, $\|\pi-\bar{\pi}_k\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M)}$, $\|\bar{\pi}^{even}_k\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_2})}$ and $\|\bar{g}^{odd}_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_{R_2})}$ are small, for all $k\ge k_0$. Using estimates (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9), we can conclude that, for all $k\ge k_0$,

$$|C^\alpha_I(g)-C^\alpha_I(\bar{g}_k)|<\epsilon.$$

To prove that angular momentum of $\bar{g}$ is close to that of g, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}J_\alpha-\int_{|x|=r}\pi_{jk}Z^j_{(\alpha)}\nu^k_g\,d\sigma_g&=\int_{|x|\ge r}div_g\big(\pi_{jk}Z^j_{(\alpha)}\big)\,d\mathrm{vol}_g\\&=\int_{|x|\ge r}\pi_{jk}g^{kl}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}Z^j_{(\alpha)}+Z^m_{(\alpha)}\Gamma^j_{ml}\Big)\,d\mathrm{vol}_g\\&=\int_{|x|\ge r}\pi_{jk}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}Z^j_{(\alpha)}+\pi_{jk}(g^{kl}-\delta^{kl})\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}Z^j_{(\alpha)}+\pi_{jk}g^{kl}Z^m_{(\alpha)}\Gamma^j_{ml}\,d\mathrm{vol}_g.\end{aligned}$$

The first term is zero since π_{jk} is a symmetric tensor and Z^α is a Killing vector field. The other terms after integration are bounded by $Cr^{1-2\delta}$. Then the rest of the argument works the same as the case of center of mass. □

In the proof of convergence of the center of mass and the angular momentum, we showed that the limits at infinity can be approximated by surface integrals at the finite radius and the difference for surface integrals at the finite radius is arbitrarily small. Since we will use this argument several times through this paper, we formulate the argument into the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $F(g)$ be a vector field depending on $x, g_{ij}, Dg_{ij}, D^2g_{ij}$ smoothly defined in $M\setminus B_{R_0}$. Let $a,b>0$, and let r be the radius. Assume that the following estimates hold for $k>r$, and for $g,\bar{g}_k$ satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 2.2 (Density Theorem).*

(1)

$$\left|\int_{|x|\geq r}\mathrm{div}_g F(g)\,d\mathrm{vol}_g\right| \leq c\Big(\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g-\delta\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g-\delta\|^2_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big)r^{-a}, \tag{2.10}$$

(2)

$$\left|\int_{|x|=r}F(g)\cdot\nu_g\,d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r}F(\bar g_k)\cdot\nu_{\bar g_k}\,d\sigma_{\bar g_k}\right| \leq c\Big(\|1-u\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g-\bar g_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big)r^{b}. \tag{2.11}$$

Then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists k_0 such that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r}F(g)\cdot\nu_g\,d\sigma_g = \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r}F(\bar g_k)\cdot\nu_{\bar g_k}\,d\sigma_{\bar g_k} + \epsilon, \qquad \textit{for all } k > k_0.$$

Remark. *If we replace the normal vectors and the volume forms of the integrals in the above assumptions by those with respect to the induced metric in the Euclidean space, the analogous result is the following: assume the following estimates hold*

(1)

$$\left|\int_{|x|\geq r}\mathrm{div}_\delta F(g)\,d\mathrm{vol}_0\right| \leq c\Big(\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|\pi\|^2_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g-\delta\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g-\delta\|^2_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big)r^{-a}, \tag{2.12}$$

(2)

$$\left|\int_{|x|=r}F(g)\cdot\nu_0\,d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}F(\bar g_k)\cdot\nu_0\,d\sigma_0\right| \leq c\Big(\|1-u\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g-\bar g_k\|_{W^{3,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big)r^{b}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists k_0 such that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r}F(g)\cdot\nu_0\,d\sigma_0 = \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r}F(\bar g_k)\cdot\nu_0\,d\sigma_0 + \epsilon, \qquad \textit{for all } k > k_0.$$

3. Properties of the Intrinsics Definition

Bartnik [B86, Section 4] proved that the ADM mass is a geometric invariant and satisfies natural properties. Along the same lines , we will show that the intrinsic definition of center of mass (1.4) is well-defined and has corresponding change of coordinate under the transformation at infinity. We will first show that the intrinsic definition is robust in the sense that we can integrate over a general class of surfaces, and those integrals converge to the same vector.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose (M, g, K) is AF-RT (1.3). Let $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset M$ be closed sets such that the sets $S_k = \partial D_k$ are connected two-dimensional C^1-surfaces without boundary which satisfy*

$$r_k = \inf\{|x| : x \in S_k\} \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

$$r_k^{-2}\operatorname{area}(S_k) \text{ is bounded as } k \to \infty, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{vol}\{D_k \setminus D_k^-\} = O(r_k^{3^-}),\\ &\quad \text{where } D_k^- = D_k \cap \{-D_k\} \text{ and } 3^- \text{ is a number less than } 3 .\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then the center of mass defined by

$$C_I^\alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{S_k} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y_{(\alpha)}^i \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, 3$$

is independent of the sequence $\{S_k\}$.

Remark. The first two conditions (3.1), (3.2) on S_k are the conditions considered by Bartnik [B86] to ensure the ADM mass is well-defined. The volume growth condition (3.3) allows us to consider a general class of surfaces which are roughly symmetric; that is, the non-symmetric region $D_k \setminus D_k^-$ of D_k has the volume growth slightly less than the volume growth of arbitrary regions in M.

Proof. As in (2.6), the divergence theorem gives us

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S_k} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y_{(\alpha)}^i \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g = \int_{S_1} \big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y_{(\alpha)}^i \nu_g^j \, d\sigma_g \\ &+ \int_{D_k \setminus D_1} x^\alpha R_g + 2x^\alpha (g^{ij} - \delta^{ij})\big(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) + \big(R_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} R_g g_{ij}\big) Y_{(\alpha)}^k \Gamma_{kj}^i \, d\mathrm{vol}_g.\end{aligned}$$

We can decompose the integral over $D_k \setminus D_1 = \{D_k \setminus D_k^-\} \cup \{D_k^- \setminus D_1^+\} \cup \{D_1^+ \setminus D_1\}$ into three integrals, where $D_1^+ = D_1 \cup \{-D_1\}$. The first integral over $\{D_k \setminus D_k^-\}$ converges because of the volume growth condition (3.3). The second integral over $\{D_k^- \setminus D_1^+\}$ converges because the region is centrically symmetric and the initial data set is AF-RT. After taking limits, the right hand side has a limit independent of the the sequence $\{S_k\}$.

□

Assume that $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are two AF coordinates on $(M\backslash B_{R_0}, g)$. Assume that F is the transition function between these two coordinates and $y = F(x)$. It is shown that the only possible coordinate changes for AF manifolds at infinity are rotation and translation [B86, Corollary 3.2]. More precisely, there is a rigid motion of $\mathbb{R}^3$, $(\mathcal{O}^i_j, a) \in O(3,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$|F(x) - (\mathcal{O}x + a)| \in W^{2,\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_0}).$$

Similarly, if we use the same argument in that corollary for AF-RT manifolds, we derive

$$F^{odd}(x) \in W^{2,\infty}_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{R_0}).$$

Because the center of mass is a quantity depending on the coordinates, we now show that centers of mass in $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ coordinates have the corresponding translation and rotation. An interesting phenomenon is that compared to rotation, translation is a more subtle rigid motion. If the translation a is not zero, Density Theorem (Theorem 2.2) is involved in the proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ be two distinct AF-RT (1.3) coordinates for (M, g, K) satisfying the change of coordinates as we described above. Assume that $C_{I,x}$ and $C_{I,y}$ are the centers of mass defined by the intrinsic definition (1.4) in these two coordinates, then*

$$C_{I,y} = \mathcal{O}C_{I,x} + a.$$

Proof. The metric g in the cotangent spaces induced from $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ can be written locally as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{kl}(x)dx^k dx^l = \widetilde{g}_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j \\ &= \widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)d(\mathcal{O}^i_k x^k + a^i)d(\mathcal{O}^j_l x^l + a^j) + O(|x|^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the above terms, we get

$$g_{kl}(x) = \widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\mathcal{O}^i_k\mathcal{O}^j_l + e_1(x)$$

where the error term $e_1 \in W^{1,\infty}_{-1}$ and $e_1^{odd} \in W^{1,\infty}_{-2}$. Then a straightforward calculation gives us

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{kl}}{\partial x^m \partial x^n} = \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{g}_{ij}}{\partial y^p \partial y^q}\mathcal{O}^i_k\mathcal{O}^j_l\mathcal{O}^p_m\mathcal{O}^q_n + e_2,$$

and the formulas for Ricci and scalar curvatures,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{kl}(x) &= \widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\mathcal{O}^i_k\mathcal{O}^j_l + e_3(x) \\ R(x) &= \widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big) + e_4(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $e_q(x) \in O(|x|^{-4})$ and $e_q^{odd}(x) = O(|x|^{-5})$ for $q = 2, 3, 4$. To calculate $C_{I,y}$, Proposition 3.1 allows us to integrate over $\{|y-a| = r\}$,

$$C_{I,y}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{16\pi m} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{|y-a|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}(y) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}(y)\widetilde{g}_{ij}(y)\Big)\Big(|y|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2y^{\alpha}y^{i}\Big)\frac{y^j - a^j}{|y-a|}\, d\sigma_0.$$

We would like to replace $y = F(x)$ in the above identity. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2y^{\alpha}y^{i} &= \big(|x|^2 - 2\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}x^{\beta}\mathcal{O}^{i}_{k}x^{k}\big)\delta^{\alpha i} + 2\mathcal{O}x\cdot a\delta^{\alpha i} \\ &\quad -2\big(a^{\alpha}\mathcal{O}^{i}_{k}x^{k} + \mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}x^{\beta}a^{i}\big) + O(1), \\ \frac{y^j - \alpha^j}{|y-\alpha|} &= \frac{\mathcal{O}^{j}_{l}x^{l}}{|x|} + e_5, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_5 \in W^{2,\infty}_{-1}$ and $e_5^{odd} \in W^{2,\infty}_{-2}$. Using the facts that $\widetilde{R}_{ij}(F), \widetilde{R}(F)$ and $g(F)$ are asymptotically even

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|y-a|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}(y) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}(y)\widetilde{g}_{ij}(y)\Big)\Big(|y|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2y^{\alpha}y^{i}\Big)\frac{y^j - a^j}{|y-a|}\, d\sigma_0 \\ =&\int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\Big(|x|^2\delta^{\alpha i} - 2\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}x^{\beta}\mathcal{O}^{i}_{k}x^{k}\Big)\frac{\mathcal{O}^{j}_{l}x^{l}}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0 \\ &+ \int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\Big(- 2a^{\alpha}\mathcal{O}^{i}_{k}x^{k}\frac{\mathcal{O}^{j}_{l}x^{l}}{|x|}\Big)\, d\sigma_0 \\ &+ \int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\Big(2\mathcal{O}x\cdot a\delta^{\alpha i} - 2\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}x^{\beta}a^{i}\big)\Big)\frac{\mathcal{O}^{j}_{l}x^{l}}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0 \\ &+ O(r^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the first integral I_1 converges to $16\pi m\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}C^{\beta}_{I,x}$, the second integral I_2 converges to $16\pi m a^{\alpha}$, and the third integral I_3 converges to 0.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{|x|=r} \Big(\widetilde{R}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big) - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{R}\big(F(x)\big)\widetilde{g}_{ij}\big(F(x)\big)\Big)\mathcal{O}^{i}_{k}\mathcal{O}^{j}_{l}\Big(|x|^2\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{k} - 2\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}x^{\beta}x^{k}\Big)\frac{x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0 \\ &= \mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}\int_{|x|=r} \Big(R_{kl}(x) - \frac{1}{2}R_g(x)g_{kl}(x)\Big)\Big(|x|^2\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^{\beta}x^{k}\Big)\frac{x^l}{|x|}\, d\sigma_0 + O(r^{-1}) \\ &= 16\pi m\mathcal{O}^{\alpha}_{\beta}C^{\beta}_{I,x} + O(r^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By using the formula for ADM mass (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= a^{\alpha}\int_{|x|=r} \Big(R_{kl}(x) - \frac{1}{2}R_g(x)g_{kl}(x)\Big)\Big(- 2x^{k}\frac{x^l}{|x|}\Big)\, d\sigma_0 + O(r^{-1}) \\ &= 16\pi m a^{\alpha} + O(r^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

To see that I_3 converges to 0, we simplify the expression by letting $b = \mathcal{O}^T a$, and then

$$I_3 = \mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta \int_{|x|=r} \Big(R_{kl}(x) - \frac{1}{2} R_g(x) g_{kl}(x) \Big) \Big(2x \cdot b \delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \Big) \frac{x^l}{|x|} \, d\sigma_0 .$$

By the fact that $\big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k\big) \cdot \frac{x^k}{|x|} = 0$, the scalar curvature term vanishes after taking a limit, so we only need to prove

$$\mathcal{O}^\alpha_\beta \int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x) \Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \Big) \frac{x^l}{|x|} \, d\sigma_0 = O(r^{-1}).$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$\int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x) \Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \Big) \nu^l_g \, d\sigma_g = O(r^{-1}).$$

A straightforward calculation shows this identity holds if the metric is conformally flat outside a compact set, so we would like to approximate g by $\bar{g}$ which have harmonic asymptotics, and then apply Lemma 2.9. We need to check if conditions (2.10) and (2.11) hold. Using the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x|\geq r} \mathrm{div}_g \Big[R_{kl}(x) \big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \big) \Big] \, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\
= &\int_{|x|\geq r} (\mathrm{div}_g R_{kl}) \big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \big) + \underbrace{R_{kl} \big(2b^l \delta^{\beta k} - 2\delta^{\beta l} b^k \big)}_{\text{sum to }=0} \, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\
&+ \int_{|x|\geq r} R_{kl} \big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \big) g^{ln} \Gamma^m_{mn} \, d\mathrm{vol}_g .
\end{aligned}$$

Using the second Bianchi identity to the first term on the right hand side, symbolically, the above integral is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{|x|\geq r} \mathrm{div}_g \Big[R_{kl}(x) \big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \big) \Big] \, d\mathrm{vol}_g \right| \\
&\leq c \Big(\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M \setminus B_r)} \|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M \setminus B_r)} + \|g\|^2_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} \Big) r^{1-2\delta} .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence condition (2.10) holds. Condition (2.11) holds because the Sobolev inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x) \Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \Big) \nu^l_g \, d\sigma_g - \int_{|x|=r} \bar{R}_{kl}(x) \Big(2x \cdot b\delta^{\beta k} - 2x^\beta b^k \Big) \nu^l_{\bar{g}} \, d\sigma_{\bar{g}} \\
&\leq c \Big(\|1-u\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} + \|g - \bar{g}\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M \setminus B_r)} \Big) r^{1-\delta} .
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.9, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} R_{kl}(x)\Big(2x\cdot b\delta^{\beta k}-2x^{\beta}b^{k}\Big)\nu_g^l\,d\sigma_g\\
=&\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} \bar{R}_{kl}(x)\Big(2x\cdot b\delta^{\beta k}-2x^{\beta}b^{k}\Big)\nu_{\bar{g}}^l\,d\sigma_{\bar{g}}+\epsilon=\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ can be chosen arbitrarily small, I_3 converges to 0. Therefore,

$$C_{I,y}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{16\pi m}\lim_{r\to\infty}(I_1+I_2+I_3)=\mathcal{O}_{\beta}^{\alpha}C_{I,x}^{\beta}+a^{\alpha}.$$

□

4. THE CORVINO–SCHOEN CENTER OF MASS

Corvino and Schoen [CS06] defined the center of mass (1.8) for AF-RT manifolds. In this section, we will show that the intrinsic definition we propose is actually equal to the Corvino–Schoen definition (Theorem 1). In other words, the intrinsic definition (1.4) is a coordinate-free expression of the Corvino–Schoen definition.

Assume $\bar{g}$ is the approximating solution in Theorem 2.2 (Density Theorem). A straightforward calculation shows $C_I(\bar{g})=C_{CS}(\bar{g})$. As proven in Theorem 2.2, $C_I(g)$ can be approximated by $C_I(\bar{g})$. If we prove that $C_{CS}(g)$ can also be approximated by $C_{CS}(\bar{g})$, then Theorem 1 follows.

Proof of Theorem 1. First notice that the Corvino–Schoen definition is equal to the following expression where the normal vector and the volume form are with respect to the induced metric in the Euclidean space

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{CS}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{16\pi m}\Bigg[&\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{|x|=r} x^{\alpha}\sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,i}-g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j\,d\sigma_0\\
&-\int_{|x|=r}\sum_{i}(h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i-h_{ii}\nu_0^{\alpha})\,d\sigma_0\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\int_{|x|=r_2} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,i}-g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j\, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r_2}\sum_i (h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0\right]\\
&\quad - \left[\int_{|x|=r_1} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,i}-g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j\, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r_1}\sum_i (h_{i\alpha}\nu^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0\right]\\
&\quad = \int_{r_1\le |x|\le r_2} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,ij}-g_{ii,jj})\, d\mathrm{vol}_0
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the conditions (2.12) and (2.13) in Lemma 2.9 (and the remark afterward) hold because $\sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,ij}-g_{ii,jj})$ is the leading order term of R_g, and by the constraint equation (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{|x|\ge r} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,ij}-g_{ii,jj})\, d\mathrm{vol}_0\right| &\le c\Big(\|\pi\|_{W^{1,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|\pi^{even}\|_{W^{1,p}_{-2-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\\
&\qquad + \|g^{odd}\|_{W^{2,p}_{-1-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\|g-\delta\|_{W^{2,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)}\Big) r^{1-2\delta}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Bigg|\left(\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,i}-g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j\, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}\sum_i (h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0\right)\\
&\quad - \left(\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(\bar g_{ij,i}-\bar g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j\, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}\sum_i (\bar h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - \bar h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0\right)\Bigg|\\
&\quad \le c\|g-\bar g\|_{W^{3,p}_{-\delta}(M\setminus B_r)} r^{2-\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can apply Lemma 2.9 and have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{CS}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{16\pi m}\lim_{r\to\infty}\left[\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(g_{ij,i}-g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j\, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}\sum_i (h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi m}\lim_{r\to\infty}\left[\int_{|x|=r} x^\alpha \sum_{i,j}(\bar g_{ij,i}-\bar g_{ii,j})\nu_0^j\, d\sigma_0 - \int_{|x|=r}\sum_i (\bar h_{i\alpha}\nu_0^i - \bar h_{ii}\nu_0^\alpha)\, d\sigma_0\right] + \epsilon\\
&= C_{CS}^\alpha(\bar g) + \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. The Huisken–Yau Center of Mass

In the case that (M, g, K) is SAF (1.6), Huisken–Yau [HY96] and Ye [Y96] proved the existence and uniqueness of the constant mean curvature foliation in the exterior region of M, if $m > 0$. Huisken and Yau used the volume preserving mean curvature flow to evolve each Euclidean sphere centered at the origin with a large radius and they showed that the Euclidean sphere converges to a surface with constant mean curvature. Furthermore, they proved those surfaces with constant mean curvature are approximately round, and their approximate centers converge as per equation (1.7):

$$C^{\alpha}_{HY} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\int_{M_r} z^{\alpha}\, d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_r} d\sigma_0}, \quad \alpha = 1,2,3 \tag{1.7}$$

where $\{M_r\}$ are leaves of the foliation and z is the position vector. They define this to be the center of mass for M. Instead of using volume preserving mean curvature flow, Ye used the implicit function theorem to find a surface with constant mean curvature which is perturbed in the normal direction away from the Euclidean sphere $S_R(p)$ for some suitable center p and for the radius R large. Although it is not emphasized in his paper, p can represent the center for each constant mean curvature surface because this surface is roughly round. Furthermore, we will show that p converges to C_{CS} and use this fact to prove $C_{HY} = C_{CS}$. Then $C_I = C_{HY}$ follows because the Corvino–Schoen center of mass C_{CS} is equal to the intrinsic definition C_I by Theorem 1. Before we prove Theorem 2, we will need a technical lemma (Lemma 5.1) which suggests that the center of the surface p converges to C_{CS}.

Let ν be the outward unit normal vector field on $S_R(p)$ with respect to the metric g. As R varies, ν is well-defined in a tabular neighborhood of $S_R(p)$. Therefore, the mean curvature at $y \in S_R(p)$ is $\mathrm{div}_{S_R(p)}\nu = \mathrm{div}_g \nu$, the divergence operator of the ambient manifold M. Recall that $g = \left(1 + \dfrac{2m}{|y|}\right)\delta_{ij} + p_{ij}$. At y,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \frac{\nabla|y-p|}{\big|\nabla|y-p|\big|_g}\\ &= \left(1 - \frac{m}{|y|} + \frac{3m^2}{2|y|^2} + \frac{1}{2}p_{qr}\frac{(y^q-p^q)(y^r-p^r)}{|y-p|^2}\right)\frac{y^l-p^l}{|y-p|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}\\ &\quad - p_{kl}\frac{y^k-p^k}{|y-p|}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} + O(R^{-3}).\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives us the mean curvature on $S_R(p)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_g \nu \quad = \quad & \frac{2}{|y-p|} - \frac{4m}{|y-p|^2} + \frac{6m(y-p)\cdot p}{|y-p|^4} + \frac{9m^2}{|y-p|^3} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} p_{ij,k}(y) \frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3} + 2p_{ij}(y)\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)}{|y-p|^3} \\
& - p_{ij,i}(y)\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|} - \frac{p_{ii}(y)}{|y-p|} + \frac{1}{2}p_{ii,j}(y)\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|} + E_0, \qquad (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|E_0| \le \frac{c}{R^4}\big(1+|p|\big)$ for some constant c depending only on the metric g.

Lemma 5.1. *For R large,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{2}p_{ij,k}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3}\right) d\sigma_0 \\
& + \int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)\left(2p_{ij}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)}{|y-p|^3}\right) d\sigma_0 \\
& + \int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)\left(-p_{ij,i}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|} - \frac{p_{ii}}{|y-p|} + \frac{1}{2}p_{ii,j}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}\right) d\sigma_0 \\
= \quad & -8m\pi C^\alpha_{CS} + O(R^{-1}), \qquad \alpha = 1,2,3. \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We denote the first integral by, for $\alpha = 1,2,3$,

$$\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R) = \int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{2}p_{ij,k}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3}\right) d\sigma_0.$$

In the proof, we will rewrite $\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R)$, and then some cancellation allows us to rearrange the left hand side of (5.2) so that it has an expression corresponding to C^α_{CS}.

Since the coordinate is only defined outside a compact set of M, we can use the divergence theorem only in the annular region $A = \{R \le |y-p| \le R_1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R_1) \quad = \quad & \mathcal{I}^\alpha(R) + \frac{1}{2}\int_A \left(p_{ij,k}\frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,i} d\mathrm{vol}_0 \\
= \quad & \mathcal{I}^\alpha(R) + \frac{1}{2}\int_A \left(p_{ij,i}\frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,k} d\mathrm{vol}_0 \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\int_A p_{ij,i}\left(\frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,k} d\mathrm{vol}_0 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\int_A p_{ij,k}\left(\frac{(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)}{|y-p|^2}\right)_{,i} d\mathrm{vol}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the divergence theorem and simplifying the expression, we get an identity containing purely boundary terms

$$\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R_1) = \mathcal{I}^\alpha(R) + \mathcal{J}^\alpha(R_1) - \mathcal{J}^\alpha(R), \qquad \text{for all } R_1 > R$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^\alpha(R) \quad = \quad & \frac{1}{2}\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha) p_{ij,i} \frac{y^j - p^j}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0 \\ & -2\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha) p_{ij} \frac{(y^i - p^i)(y^j - p^j)}{|y-p|^3}\, d\sigma_0 \\ & +\frac{1}{2}\int_{|y-p|=R} p_{ii} \frac{y^\alpha - p^\alpha}{|y-p|} d\sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{|y-p|=R} p_{i\alpha} \frac{y^i - p^i}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0.\end{aligned}$$

To prove that $\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R) = \mathcal{J}^\alpha(R)$, we would like to apply Lemma 2.9. It is easy to check that the conditions (2.12) and (2.13) hold, so we get, for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R) - \mathcal{J}^\alpha(R) = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} (\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R_1) - \mathcal{J}^\alpha(R_1)) = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} (\mathcal{I}^\alpha_{\bar{p}}(R_1) - \mathcal{J}^\alpha_{\bar{p}}(R_1)) + \epsilon,$$

where $\mathcal{I}^\alpha_{\bar{p}}$ and $\mathcal{J}^\alpha_{\bar{p}}$ denote the integrals that we obtain by replacing p_{ij} by $\bar{p}_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}^\alpha$, where $\bar{p}_{ij}$ are $O(|y|^{-2})$-terms in the approximating solutions $\bar{g}_{ij}$ in Theorem 2.2 (Density Theorem). More precisely, $\bar{g}_{ij}$ has this expansion

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \Big(1 + \frac{a}{|y|}\Big)\delta_{ij} + O(|y|^{-2}),$$

and $\bar{p}_{ij}$ is defined by

$$\bar{p}_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} - \Big(1 + \frac{a}{|y|}\Big)\delta_{ij}.$$

Because $\bar{p}^{odd}_{ij} = \frac{c\cdot y}{|y|^3} + O(|y|^{-3})$ as in (2.4), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{R_1 \to \infty} (\mathcal{I}^\alpha_{\bar{p}}(R_1) - \mathcal{J}^\alpha_{\bar{p}}(R_1)) = 0.$$

Therefore, we conclude $\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R) = \mathcal{J}^\alpha(R)$. We then replace $\mathcal{I}^\alpha(R)$ by $\mathcal{J}^\alpha(R)$ in the identity (5.2) and derive that the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}\Bigg(&\int_{|y-p|=R} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha)(p_{ij,i} - p_{ii,j}) \frac{y^j - p^j}{|y-p|}\, d\sigma_0 \\ &\qquad - \int_{|y-p|=R} p_{i\alpha} \frac{y^i - p^i}{|x-p|} - p_{ii} \frac{y^\alpha - p^\alpha}{|x-p|}\, d\sigma_0\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the above integrals into the summation of the center of mass (1.8) and the remainder terms. Then explicit calculations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{|y-p|=R}(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)(g_{ij,i}-g_{ii,j})\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}\,d\sigma_0\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\int_{|y-p|=R} g_{i\alpha}\frac{y^i-p^i}{|x-p|}-g_{ii}\frac{y^\alpha-p^\alpha}{|x-p|}\,d\sigma_0\right]\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{|y-p|=R}(y^\alpha-p^\alpha)\left((g_{ij,i}-p_{ij,i})-(g_{ii,j}-p_{ii,j})\right)\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}\,d\sigma_0\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{|y-p|=R}(g_{i\alpha}-p_{i\alpha})\frac{y^i-p^i}{|x-p|}-(g_{ii}-p_{ii})\frac{y^\alpha-p^\alpha}{|x-p|}\,d\sigma_0\right]\\
&=-8\pi m C^\alpha_{CS}+O(R^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $F_{p,R} : S_1(0) \to M$ be an embedding defined by $y = F_{p,R}(x) = Rx + p$, that is, $F_{p,R}(S_1(0)) = S_R(p)$, the Euclidean sphere centered at p with the radius R in M. We consider the perturbation along the normal direction on $S_R(p)$ defined by $\Sigma = \{y + \lambda\phi\nu : y \in S_R(p)\}$ for a parameter $\lambda > 0$, and for $\phi \in C^{2,\alpha}(S_R(p))$ with $\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq 1$. We denote the mean curvature on Σ by $H(p,R,\lambda\phi)$. Using this notation, $H(p,R,0) = \mathrm{div}_g\nu$, the mean curvature of $S_R(p)$. By Taylor's theorem for mappings between two Banach Spaces,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(p,R,\lambda\phi) &= H(p,R,0) + dH(p,R,0)\lambda\phi\\
&\quad+\int_0^1 (1-s)\Big(d^2H\big(p,R,s(\lambda\phi)\big)(\lambda\phi,\lambda\phi)\Big)ds
\end{aligned}
$$

where dH and d^2H are the first and second Fréchet derivatives in the ϕ-component. In our case, $dH(p,R,0)$ is the linearized mean curvature operator on $S_R(p)$, i.e.

$$dH(p,R,0) = \Delta_{S_R(p)} + |A|^2_g + Ric(\nu,\nu),$$

where $\Delta_{S_R(p)}$ is the Laplacian operator on $S_R(p)$ with respect to the induced metric from g, A is the second fundamental form on $S_R(p)$ and $Ric(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the Ricci curvature of M. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(p,R,\lambda\phi) &= H(p,R,0) + \Delta_{S_R(p)}\lambda\phi + \left(|A|^2_g + Ric(\nu,\nu)\right)\lambda\phi\\
&\quad+\int_0^1 (1-s)\Big(d^2H\big(p,R,s(\lambda\phi)\big)\lambda^2\phi\phi\Big)ds. \qquad (5.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

In [HY96], the estimates on the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of A are derived and

$$|A|_g^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = \frac{2}{R^2} + O(R^{-3}), \quad Ric(\nu,\nu) = O(R^{-3}).$$

For the second Fréchet derivative in the Taylor expansion, we have

$$d^2H\big(p,R,s(\lambda\phi)\big)\lambda^2\phi\phi = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}H\big(p,R,t(\lambda\phi)\big)\Big|_{t=s}.$$

The right hand side is the second derivative of the mean curvature of the surface $\{y + s(\lambda\phi)\nu : y \in S_R(p)\}$. For R large, the unit outward normal vector field on $\{y + s(\lambda\phi)\nu : y \in S_R(p)\}$ is close to ν, and a straightforward calculation gives us

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}H\big(p,R,t(\lambda\phi)\big)\right| \le c\lambda^2(|R_{ijkl}||A||\phi|^2 + |A||\phi||D^2\phi| + |A|^3|\phi|^2)$$

where the constant c is independent of p, R, ϕ. Let G and E_1 be defined as follows, where G is the lower order terms of the mean curvature of $S_R(p)$ from (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} G(y) \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}p_{ij,k}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)(y^k-p^k)}{|y-p|^3} + 2p_{ij}\frac{(y^i-p^i)(y^j-p^j)}{|y-p|^3} \\ & - p_{ij,i}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|} - \frac{p_{ii}}{|y-p|} + \frac{1}{2}p_{ii,j}\frac{y^j-p^j}{|y-p|}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(y) = & E_0 + \Big(|A|_g^2 - \frac{2}{R^2}\Big)(\lambda\phi) + Ric(\nu,\nu)(\lambda\phi) \\ & + \int_0^1 (1-s)\Big(d^2H\big(p,R,s\lambda\phi\big)\big)(\lambda\phi,\lambda\phi)\Big)ds. \end{aligned}$$

G will give us C_{CS} as indicated in Lemma 5.1 and $E_1(y)$ is an error term bounded as follows for some constant c independent of p, R, ϕ.

$$|E_1| \le \frac{c}{R^4}(1+|p|) + \frac{c}{R^3}\bigg(\lambda|\phi| + \lambda^2|\phi| + R^2\lambda^2|\phi||D^2\phi|\bigg).$$

From identities (5.1) and (5.3),

$$H(p,R,\lambda\phi) = \frac{2}{R} - \frac{4m}{R^2} + \frac{6m(y-p)\cdot p}{R^4} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G(y) + \lambda\Delta_{S_R(p)}\phi + \frac{2}{R^2}\lambda\phi + E_1(y).$$

To find the surface with constant mean curvature, we need to find p, R, ϕ so that

$$H(p,R,\lambda\phi) = \frac{2}{R} - \frac{4m}{R^2}.$$

It is equivalent to solving

$$0 = \frac{6m(y-p)\cdot p}{R^4} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G(y) + \lambda\Delta_{S_R(p)}\phi + \frac{2}{R^2}\lambda\phi + E_1(y) \qquad (5.4)$$

We pull back the equation (5.4) via the map $F_{p,R}$, and we get the following equation on $S_1(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad = \quad & \frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^3} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G\circ F_{p,R}(x) + \lambda\Delta_{S_1(0)}\psi(x) + \frac{2}{R^2}\lambda\psi(x) \\ & + E_1 \circ F_{p,R}(x) \qquad (5.5) \end{aligned}$$

for $p, R, \psi(x)$, where $\Delta_{S_1(0)}$ is the Laplacian on $S_1(0)$ with respect to the pull back metric and $\psi = \phi\circ F_{p,R}(x) = \phi(Rx+p)$ is the pull back of ϕ. Define the operator $L : C^{2,\alpha}\big(S_1(0)\big) \to C^{0,\alpha}\big(S_1(0)\big)$ by

$$L \equiv -\Delta_0 - 2,$$

where Δ_0 is standard spherical Laplacian on $S_1(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Because the metric g is asymptotically flat, the difference between Δ_0 and $\Delta_{S_1(0)}$ is small and can be treated as the error term. Therefore, the identity (5.5) is equal to

$$0 = \frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^3} + \frac{9m^2}{R^3} + G\circ F_{p,R}(x) - \frac{1}{R^2}\lambda L\psi(x) + \widetilde{E}_1\circ F_{p,R}(x),$$

where $\widetilde{E}_1$ has the same bound as E_1. We let $\lambda = R^{-a}$ for some fixed $a\in(0,1)$ and multiply R^{2+a} on both sides of the above equation, then

$$L\psi(x) = \frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} + \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}} + R^{2+a}G\circ F_{p,R}(x) + R^{2+a}\widetilde{E}_1\circ F_{p,R}(x) \qquad (5.6)$$

Furthermore, since $D_x\psi = (D_y\phi)R$,

$$|\widetilde{E}_1\circ F_{p,R}(x)| \quad\le\quad \frac{C}{R^4}\big(1+|p|\big) + \frac{C}{R^3}\bigg(\frac{|\psi|}{R^a} + \frac{|\psi|^2}{R^{2a}} + \frac{|D^2\psi||\psi|}{R^{2a}}\bigg).$$

In order to find p, R and ψ to solve (5.6), first we perturb $p = p(R,\psi)$ so that the right hand side of (5.6) is inside Range$L$ for any R and ψ. We will also show that $p = C_{CS} + e$ where e is the error term containing lower order terms in R and $\|\psi\|$. Second, using an iteration process and the Schauder estimate, we can find a solution ψ for R large.

1. Perturb the center p**.** L has a kernel equal to span$\{x^1,x^2,x^3\}$ because translation preserves the mean curvature. Since L is self-adjoint, $C^{0,\alpha}(S_1(0))$ has the L^2-orthogonal decomposition $C^{0,\alpha}(S_1(0)) = \text{Range}L \oplus \text{span}\{x^1,x^2,x^3\}$. We would like to find p so that the right hand side of (5.6) is orthogonal to span$\{x^1,x^2,x^3\}$. That is, we want to find p so that for $\alpha = 1,2,3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha\Big(\frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} + \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}}\Big)\,d\sigma_0 \\ & + \int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha\Big(R^{2+a}G\circ F_{p,R}(x) + R^{2+a}\widetilde{E}_1\circ F_{p,R}(x)\Big)\,d\sigma_0 = 0. \qquad (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

We calculate each term above separately. A direct calculation gives the first integral

$$\int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha \Big(\frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} + \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}} \Big)\, d\sigma_0 = \frac{8m\pi p^\alpha}{R^{1-a}}.$$

From the area formula and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha R^{2+a} G\circ F_{p,R}(x)\, d\sigma_0 &= \int_{S_R(p)} \frac{y^\alpha - p^\alpha}{R} R^{2+a} G(y) R^{-2}\, d\sigma_0 \\ = \frac{1}{R^{1-a}} \int_{S_R(p)} (y^\alpha - p^\alpha) G(y)\, d\sigma_0 &= \frac{-8m\pi C_{CS}^\alpha}{R^{1-a}} + O(R^{-2+a}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the error term can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \int_{S_1(0)} x^\alpha R^{2+a} \widetilde{E}_1 \circ F_{p,R}(x)\, d\sigma_0 \Big| &\le \frac{c}{R^{2-a}} \big(1+|p|\big) \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{R}\big(|\psi| + |D\psi||\psi| + |D\psi|^2 + |\psi||D\psi||D^2\psi| \big). \end{aligned}$$

Since the ADM mass $m > 0$, we can choose p

$$p(R,\psi) = C_{CS} + e(R,\psi) \tag{5.8}$$

so that the identity (5.7) holds, where

$$|e(R,\psi)| \le \frac{c}{R}\big(1+|p|\big) + \frac{c}{R^a}\big(|\psi| + |D\psi||\psi| + |D\psi|^2 + |\psi||D\psi||D^2\psi| \big).$$

2. Find the solution ψ by iteration. We consider the isomorphism $L : (\mathrm{Ker} L)^\perp \to \mathrm{Range}(L)$ for $(\mathrm{Ker}L)^\perp \subset C^{2,\alpha}(S_1(0))$ and $\mathrm{Range}(L) \subset C^{0,\alpha}(S_1(0))$. If we denote the right hand side of (5.6) by $f(p,R,\psi)$, i.e.

$$f(p,R,\psi) = -\frac{6mx\cdot p}{R^{1-a}} - \frac{9m^2}{R^{1-a}} - R^{2+a} G\circ F_{p,R}(x) - R^{2+a}\widetilde{E}_1 \circ F_{p,R}(x),$$

we know $f(p(R,\psi),R,\psi) \in \mathrm{Range}(L)$ for any R,ψ with $\|\psi\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le 1$. Therefore, any ψ_0 with $\|\psi_0\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le 1$, there is $\psi_1 \in (\mathrm{Ker}L)^\perp$ such that

$$L\psi_1 = f\big(p(R,\psi_0), R, \psi_0\big).$$

Moreover, we use the Schauder estimate and the fact that $\psi_1 \in (\mathrm{Ker}L)^\perp$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} &\le c \big\| f\big(p(R,\psi_0),R,\psi_0\big) \big\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \\ &\le \frac{c}{R^{1-a}}(|C_{CS}|+1) + \frac{c}{R^a}(\|\psi_0\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} + \|\psi_0\|^2_{C^{2,\alpha}}) \\ &\le \frac{c}{R^{1-a}}(|C_{CS}|+1) + \frac{2c}{R^a}. \end{aligned}$$

For any R large enough (independent of ψ_0), we have $\|\psi_1\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le 1$. We continue the iteration process and get a sequence of functions $\{\psi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ satisfying

$$L\psi_{k+1} = f\big(p(R,\psi_k), R, \psi_k\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi_{k+1}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le 1.$$

The Arzela–Ascoli theorem says that there exists $\psi_\infty \in C^{2,\mu}(S_1(0))$ such that a subsequence of $\{\psi_k\}$ converges to ψ_∞ in $C^{2,\mu}$ for $0<\mu<\alpha$. Moreover ψ_∞ is a solution to (5.6),

$$L\psi_\infty = f\big(p(R,\psi_\infty),R,\psi_\infty\big).$$

Let $\phi_\infty(y)=\psi_\infty\circ F^{-1}_{p,R}(y)$, then the surface $M_R=\{z : z=y+R^{-a}\phi_\infty\nu, y\in S_R(p)\}$ has constant mean curvature equal to $(2/R)-(4m/R^2)$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we need to compute

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\frac{\int_{M_R} z^\alpha d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_R} d\sigma_0}. \tag{5.9}$$

By the uniqueness of the constant mean curvature foliation, $\{M_R\}$ are equal to those constructed in [HY96], and therefore (5.9) converges to the Huisken–Yau center of mass C^α_{HY}. We now prove that (5.9) also converges to the Corvino–Schoen center of mass C^α_{CS}. Let F be the diffeomorphism defined by $F(y)=y+R^{-a}\phi_\infty\nu$, then

$$\frac{\int_{M_R} z^\alpha d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_R} d\sigma_0}=\frac{\int_{S_R(p)}\big(y^\alpha+R^{-a}\phi_\infty\nu^\alpha\big)JFd\sigma_0}{\int_{S_R(p)}JFd\sigma_0},$$

where JF is the Jacobian from the area formula, $JF=1+O(R^{-1-a})$. Now we can use the fact that the area of Euclidean sphere is $O(R^2)$ and the estimate for the center p in (5.8) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\int_{M_R} z^\alpha d\sigma_0}{\int_{M_R} d\sigma_0}\\ &=p^\alpha+\frac{\int_{S_R(p)}\big(y^\alpha-p^\alpha\big)(1+O(R^{-1-a}))d\sigma_0+\int_{S_R(p)}R^{-a}\phi_\infty\nu^\alpha JFd\sigma_0}{\int_{S_R(p)}JFd\sigma_0}\\ &=C^\alpha_{CS}+e(R,\phi_\infty)+O(R^{-a}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, after taking limits, the Huisken–Yau center of mass C^α_{HY} is equal to the Corvino–Schoen center of mass C^α_{CS} and, therefore, is equal to C^α_I. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my thesis advisor Prof. Richard Schoen for suggesting this problem and for the remarkable ideas and comments he provided. I also would like to thank Simon Brendle and Damin Wu for very useful discussions and Justin Corvino for his interest in this work.

REFERENCES

[B86] Bartnik, R., *Mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math, Volume 39 (1986), pp. 661-693.

[BLP03] Baskaran, D., Lau, S. R., and Petrov, A. N., *Center of mass integral in canonical general relativity.* Ann. Physics 307 (2003), no. 1, 90–131.

[BÓ87] Beig, R. and Ó Murchadha, N., *The Poincaré group as the symmetry group of canonical general relativity.*Ann. Physics 174 (1987), no. 2, 463–498.

[CS06] Corvino, J. and Schoen, R., *On the asymptotics for the vacuum Einstein constraint equations*, J. Differential Geom. Volume 73, Number 2 (2006), pp. 185-217.

[CW08] Corvino, J. and Wu, H., *On the center of mass of isolated systems*, Class. Quantum Grav. 25 (2008).

[HY96] Huisken, G. and Yau, S.-T., *Definition of center of mass for isolated physical systems and unique foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature*, Invent. Math, Volume 124 (1996), pp. 281-331.

[RT74] Regge, T. and Teitelboim, C., *Role of surface integrals in the Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity*, Ann. Phys. Volume 88 (1974), pp. 286-318.

[SY79] Schoen, R. and Yau, S.T., *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in General Relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 65 (1979), pp. 45-76.

[SY81] Schoen, R, and Yau, S.T., *Proof of the positive mass theorem II* , Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 79 (1981), pp. 231-260.

[Y96] Ye, R., *Foliation by constant mean curvature spheres on asymptotically at manifolds*, Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, (1996), pp. 369-383.